

DRAFT

2022 Update to the 2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan

Working Draft

Version: March 2022

Due: December 2022

This document is intended to be drafted section by section as we complete sharing sessions and in line with the deliverables scheduled in our workplan. This is a working draft and will change throughout the year. Only the final version will be formatted, and all formatting will happen in December; content will not be formatted prior to December. All feedback, questions, and comments can be submitted online at www.climatechange.ri.gov/aoc/.

Commented [GC(1): Question for reviewers: Would you like to see quotes from stakeholders throughout the report (akin to the Electrifying Transportation report) or will quotes feel too distracting?

Front Matter – due in November

- Introductory Letter
- Executive Summary
- Table of Contents

Introduction and Scope – due in December – drafted

Defining net-zero emissions by 2050 – due in February – drafted

Greenhouse Gases – due in April

- How we inventory
- 1990 baseline
- 2018 greenhouse gas inventory
- Projections, modeling, review of key assumptions

Since 2016 – due in March – in development

- Greenhouse gas reductions, other metrics
- Studies, programs, policies
- Progress on 2016 pathways
- Restructured strategy by sector
 - Electricity
 - Transportation
 - Thermal
 - Land Use
 - Climate Justice

Meeting our 2030 Mandate

- Electric – due in May
- Transportation – due in June
- Thermal – due in July
- Land use – due in August
- Climate justice – due in September
- Agency actions – due in October
- Considerations for the General Assembly – due in October

Looking ahead to the 2025 *Climate Strategy* – due in November

Appendix: Stakeholder Engagement – drafted throughout

- Summary – due in November
- November Sharing Session on Scope of 2022 *Update* – drafted
- January Sharing Sessions on ‘Defining Net-Zero by 2050’ – drafted

DRAFT

Introductory Letter

[To be added in November]

DRAFT

DRAFT

Executive Summary

[To be added in November]

DRAFT

Table of Contents

Introductory Letter	2
Executive Summary	3
Table of Contents	4
Introduction and Scope	8
Defining Net-Zero Emissions by 2050	11
Which emissions?	11
Anthropogenic versus biogenic emissions sources	13
How do we ‘net’ these emissions?	14
Over what timeframe should we net emissions?	16
Exogenous limitations	17
Non-quantitative metrics and lived experience	17
Greenhouse Gases	18
How we inventory	18
1990 baseline	18
2018 greenhouse gas inventory	18
Projections, modeling, review of key assumptions	18
Since 2016	19
A Snapshot of Metrics Since 2016	19
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	19
Clean Energy	19
Energy Efficiency	19
Table X. Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs 2016-2021	20
Heating	20
Green Jobs	20
Impacts of COVID-19	20
Clean Cars	22
Protected Land	22
Resilient Communities	22
Lead-by-Example	22
Focus on Equity	23
Studies, Programs, Policies, and Legislation	23
Key Studies Since 2016	23
100% Renewable Electricity by 2030 (2020)	24
Solar Siting Opportunities (2020)	24

Use of Operating Agreements and Energy Storage to Reduce Photovoltaic Interconnection Costs (2022)	24
Resilient Microgrids for Critical Services (2017).....	25
Power Sector Transformation (2017).....	25
Docket 4600: Investigation into the Changing Electric Distribution System (2017).....	25
Heating Sector Transformation (2020)	25
Electrifying Transportation: A Strategic Policy Guide for Improving Public Access to Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure in Rhode Island (2021).....	26
Clean Transportation and Mobility Innovation Report (2021)	26
Energy Efficiency Market Potential Study (2020).....	27
Value of Forests (2019).....	27
Resilient Rhody (2017)	27
Climate Change and Health Resiliency (2015).....	27
Carbon Pricing Study (2020)	28
Clean Energy Industry Reports (2016-2021)	28
Select New Programs Since 2016	28
Expanding Energy Efficiency Programs	28
Renewable Energy Fund	29
Electric Transportation Programs	29
Incentives for Heat Pumps	30
Agricultural Energy Grant Program.....	30
Lead-by-Example.....	30
Clean Energy Internship.....	31
Municipal Resilience	32
Climate Change and Health Program.....	32
Supply Chain Challenges	29
Policies and Legislation	33
2021 Act on Climate	33
COP26: Signaling Promising Momentum	33
Appliance Energy Efficiency Standards	34
Transportation and Climate Initiative	34
Conversations about Solar Siting	34
Increasing Biofuels	34
Offshore Wind	34
Land and Forest Conservation	35

Land Conservation and Real Estate	35
2021 Beach, Clean Water, and Green Economy Bond	35
Progress on 2016 Pathways.....	36
Build on State Success	36
Energy Efficiency	36
Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Reductions	37
Clean Energy.....	37
Electric Heat.....	38
Biofuel Heat	38
Electric Vehicles	38
Transportation Biofuels.....	39
Land Use Conservation.....	39
Other	39
Natural Gas Leaks.....	39
Energy Storage.....	39
Solid Waste	40
Enable Markets and Communities	40
Grow Clean Economy Jobs.....	40
Empower Citizens and Communities.....	41
Foster a More Dynamic Regulatory Model	41
Lead-by-Example.....	41
Leverage Regional Collaboration	42
Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI)	42
Transportation and Climate Initiative (TCI)	42
New England Governors/Eastern Canadian Premiers.....	42
Other Regional Work	42
Meeting our 2030 Mandate	44
Climate Change: Local Action for a Global Issue	44
Priority Actions for the Electric Sector.....	44
Priority Actions for the Transportation Sector.....	44
Priority Actions for the Thermal Sector.....	44
Priority Actions Related to Land use	44
Priority Actions to Address Climate justice.....	44
Priority Actions for State Agencies	44
Considerations for the General Assembly.....	44

DRAFT

Looking ahead to the <i>2025 Climate Strategy</i>	45
Appendix: Stakeholder Engagement.....	46
Summary	46
November Sharing Session on Scope of <i>2022 Update</i>	47
January Sharing Sessions on Defining ‘Net-Zero Emissions by 2050’	51
March Sharing Session on Greenhouse Gas Inventory Methods and Tools	55

DRAFT

Introduction and Scope

On April 14, 2021, Governor Dan McKee signed into law the [2021 Act on Climate](#), which sets mandatory, enforceable climate emissions reduction goals culminating in net-zero emissions by 2050. This legislation updates the previous 2014 Resilient Rhode Island Act, positioning the state to boldly address climate change and prepare for a global economy that will be shifting to adapt to clean technology.

As required by law, the Executive Climate Change Coordinating Council (EC4) will deliver an update to the [2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan](#) to the Governor and General Assembly by December 31, 2022 (referred to as the ‘2022 Update’). The 2022 Update will be informed by public comment and stakeholder discussions.

Subsequently, the EC4 will develop a plan to incrementally reduce climate emissions to net-zero by 2050 to be delivered to the Governor and the General Assembly by December 31, 2025 (referred to as the ‘2025 Climate Strategy’). The 2025 Climate Strategy will be developed via a robust stakeholder process and will address areas such as environmental injustices, public health inequities, and a fair employment transition as fossil-fuel jobs are transitioned into green energy jobs. The 2025 Climate Strategy will be a comprehensive working document that will be updated every five years thereafter.

A note on terminology:

- **2016 Plan** refers to the *2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan* published in December 2016 in response to the 2014 Resilient Rhode Island Act
- **2022 Update** refers to the required update to the *2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan*, as mandated by the 2021 Act on Climate
- **2025 Climate Strategy** refers to the set of “strategies, programs, and actions to meet economy-wide enforceable targets for greenhouse gas emissions” due “no later than December 31, 2025, and every five (5) years thereafter”, as mandated by the 2021 Act on Climate

The following objectives of the 2022 Update to the *2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan* were informed by discussions with stakeholders and the public during the November 2021 sharing session, as well as by comments received through the online public comment portal.

Callout box: The 2022 Update should:

- ☐ Be responsive to the 2021 Act on Climate
- ☐ Center equity and be developed using a meaningful public participation process
- ☐ Leverage lessons learned since 2016
- ☐ Build a foundation for the 2025 Climate Strategy
- ☐ Reconsider and confirm technical aspects of modeling, be action oriented, promote resilience and reliability, and emphasize the role of renewable energy resources
- ☐ Focus on near-term actions to achieve the 2021 Act on Climate’s 2030 mandate

First, the 2022 Update must first and foremost be responsive to the 2021 Act on Climate. We are operating under the premise that the legislative intent and objective of the 2021 Act on Climate mandates

is to limit the worst impacts of climate change in alignment with the latest science.¹ We rely on the latest science and recommendations of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).²

Second, developing the *2022 Update* should rely on robust and meaningful stakeholder engagement in order to appropriately center equity into the discussion. We welcome feedback and suggestions from stakeholders throughout the development process, and intend to rely on a combination of workshops, sharing sessions, and one-on-one conversations to strike a helpful balance of providing support, facilitating conversation, and making space to listen and learn.

Third, the *2022 Update* should recognize and leverage lessons learned since 2016 when the previous greenhouse gas emissions reduction plan was published. Key changes since 2016 include new emissions reduction targets directed by the 2021 Act on Climate; new learning from analyses, reports, progress on actions, and advances in science, technology, and business; emergency events leading to a renewed and stronger sense of urgency to act; and changing factors like new funding opportunities, renewable energy procurements, and potential changes in utility ownership.

Fourth, the *2022 Update* should build a foundation for developing the *2025 Climate Strategy*. These two documents should avoid duplicating each other and instead build on each other so that we place continued pressure on reducing our emissions. We envision the *2022 Update* to reflect on past progress and identify our priority short-term actions needed to get on the right path to meet our 2030 emissions mandate, in hope these priorities will be complete by 2025. The *2025 Climate Strategy* will then build out workplans for each sector in order to meet our interim mandates and set us on a viable path to reach net-zero emissions by 2050.

Fifth, the development of the *2022 Update* is a ripe opportunity to reconsider and confirm technical aspects of modeling, be action oriented, promote resilience and reliability, and emphasize the role of renewable energy resources.

Finally, the *2022 Update* must identify a clear set of priority near-term action items that will set Rhode Island on a compelling path to reach the 2021 Act on Climate’s 2030 mandate of 45% emissions reduction below our 1990 baseline. Further accountability, roles, and responsibility should be included for each priority action.

Based on these objectives, we developed the following scope of the *2022 Update*, which informs both our workplan for developing the *2022 Update* and the outline reflected in this draft document.

Scope of the 2022 Update

- Technical updates:
 - Update greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets to comply with the 2021 Act on Climate, and define the goal of reaching ‘net zero emissions by 2050’
 - Review modeling to ensure the 1990 baseline is sound, data are defensible, and modeling assumptions are reasonable
- Update pathways, policy and implementation strategies
 - Restructure pathways and policies from *2016 Plan* to coordinate with emissions sectors

¹ See for example [RIGL §42-6.2-3.9](#), which states state agencies shall “Develop plans, policies, and solutions based on the latest science” to ensure the state continues to have a vibrant coastal economy, including protection of critical infrastructure, and a vibrant and resilient food system that can provide affordable access to healthy food for all Rhode Islanders” (emphasis added).

² [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change](#)

- Provide updates on progress for each policy and implementation strategy recommended in the *2016 Plan*
- Add policy and implementation strategies recommended by more recent studies
- Refine policy and implementation strategies based on lessons learned
- Update policy and implementation strategies to identify priority actions to meet the 2030 mandate, clarify roles, and identify mechanisms for accountability
- Consider new and forthcoming funding opportunities
- Review and update the entire *2016 Plan* with equity appropriately centered and integrated throughout
- Identify key stakeholders to engage (and engage them!)
- Develop a climate dashboard that tracks progress on community-prioritized outcomes using clearly defined, transparent, and meaningful metrics
- Identify and address the prerequisite needs of the *2025 Climate Strategy* and preview the work ahead

Components of the *2016 Plan* that do not need to be updated include the model itself; the guiding objectives to build on state success, enable markets and communities, and leverage regional collaboration; and the process of RIDEM's triennial greenhouse gas reporting.

[Brief summary of *2022 Update* findings to be added in November]

Defining Net-Zero Emissions by 2050

The 2021 Act on Climate sets forth a mandate to reach ‘net-zero emissions by 2050’ ([RIGL 46-6.2](#)). However, the law does not define the terms ‘net-zero’ or ‘emissions’, and therefore leaves open questions of which emissions, how we net those emissions, and on what timeframe the netting occurs. Following public discussions held in three sharing sessions and supplemented by online comments, we propose the following definitions and offer several critical caveats related to how our definitions may evolve over the next three decades.

‘Emissions’ refer collectively to the set of greenhouse gases that contribute to climate change. Based on current science, greenhouse gases include carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and fluorinated gases. The greenhouse gases included in our definition of emissions may evolve over time if climate science uncovers additional gases contributing to climate change.

‘Net-Zero’ refers to the requirement that the summary measure of greenhouse gas emissions emitted over the course of a calendar year less the summary measure of greenhouse gas emissions absorbed or otherwise broken down over the course of a calendar year equals zero. All emissions can be summarized in a measure such as million metric tons carbon dioxide equivalent (MMTCO₂e) using global warming potential factors which adhere to international standards, including those of the IPCC³ and UNFCCC⁴, and are embedded within the US EPA’s⁵ greenhouse gas emissions inventory tools.

Which emissions?

Greenhouse gases are molecules that cause and exacerbate climate change. The IPCC and US EPA identify four types of greenhouse gases⁶:


³ [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change](#)

⁴ [United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change](#)

⁵ [United States Environmental Protection Agency](#)

⁶ “Greenhouse gases (GHGs) - Gaseous constituents of the atmosphere, both natural and anthropogenic, that absorb and emit radiation at specific wavelengths within the spectrum of radiation emitted by the Earth’s surface, by the atmosphere itself, and by clouds. This property causes the greenhouse effect. Water vapour (H₂O), carbon dioxide (CO₂), nitrous oxide (N₂O), methane (CH₄) and ozone (O₃) are the primary GHGs in the Earth’s atmosphere. Human-made GHGs include sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and perfluorocarbons (PFCs); several of these are also O₃-depleting (and are regulated under the Montreal Protocol). See also Well-mixed greenhouse gas” [[IPCC Glossary](#)] Note that IPCC, US EPA, and Rhode Island do not count water vapor or ozone in tracked emissions.

Commented [GC(2)]: Minor edits to improve clarity made based on feedback received.



Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is the most prevalent greenhouse gas. Its primary source is from the combustion of fossil fuels.

Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) is a type of greenhouse gas that is emitted in part from certain agricultural soil management practices.

Methane (CH₄) is released into the atmosphere from natural gas leakage, from landfills, and from some agriculture.

Fluorinated gases are a set of greenhouse gases containing hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆), and nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃). While these gases are less common, they have a more substantial impact on climate change. These gases primarily stem from the substitution of ozone-depleting substances.

One legislative objective of the 2021 Act on Climate is to limit the worst impacts of climate change in alignment with the latest science.⁷ We rely on the latest science and recommendations of the IPCC. Since all four types of greenhouse gases are recognized by the IPCC as contributors to climate change, all four must be included in our accounting of emissions generally and in our emissions reduction strategies specifically. If additional greenhouse gases are identified, then those greenhouse gases should also be accounted for.

‘Emissions’ refer collectively to the set of greenhouse gases that contribute to climate change. Based on current science, greenhouse gases include carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and fluorinated gases. The greenhouse gases included in our definition of emissions may evolve over time if climate science uncovers additional gases contributing to climate change.

The IPCC regularly re-evaluates the relative contributions of these greenhouse gases to climate change. One key parameter used to describe these relative impacts is a greenhouse gas’s ‘global warming potential’ (GWP). The GWP allows for comparisons of the global warming impact of different gases. Specifically, it is a measure of how much energy the emissions of 1 ton of a gas will absorb over a given period of time, relative to the emissions of 1 ton of carbon dioxide (CO₂). All global warming potentials are relative to the impact of carbon dioxide, whose GWP is equal to one (GWP = 1). The greater the GWP, the more that a given gas warms the Earth compared to CO₂ over that time period. Other greenhouse gases, which have relatively more impact on causing climate change on a molecule-by-molecule basis, have global warming potentials greater than one.

Global warming potentials depend on both the impact of each molecule of the greenhouse gas and how long each molecule stays in the atmosphere. Greenhouse gases that tend to stay in the atmosphere longer have a longer timeframe over which they can cause climate change; on the other hand, molecules that are broken down or absorbed quickly have only a short time over which they can contribute to climate

⁷ [RIGL §42-6.2-3.9](#) states state agencies shall “Develop plans, policies, and solutions based on the latest science to ensure the state continues to have a vibrant coastal economy, including protection of critical infrastructure, and a vibrant and resilient food system that can provide affordable access to healthy food for all Rhode Islanders” (emphasis added).

change. Global warming potentials are continually studied by the IPCC and are subject to change over time depending on the most recent analyses.

In practice, we propose to use the global warming potentials embedded in the US EPA's greenhouse gas emissions inventory tools, which adhere to international standards, including the IPCC and UNFCCC. We additionally propose to include a qualitative or sensitivity analysis to describe how our current emissions levels may differentially contribute to climate change if global warming potentials are modified. For example, while our current inventory uses a 100-year timeframe for the global warming potential of methane (because this is the parameter embedded in the US EPA greenhouse gas inventory tool), we will also describe how our inventory might look different if we were to use a 20-year timeframe instead. A qualitative description may be included more frequently than an administratively intensive quantitative sensitivity analysis.

All emissions will be summarized in a metric called million metric tons carbon dioxide equivalent (MMTCO₂e). This metric accounts for both the amount of each greenhouse gas in our atmosphere *and* its relative impact on climate change. This is a common metric used across the climate science sector to summarize greenhouse gases.

Anthropogenic versus biogenic emissions sources

Biogenic emissions are emissions that come from natural sources.⁸ In contrast, anthropogenic emissions are emissions that come from human activities.⁹ Both types of emissions contribute to climate change, and both are accounted for in some manner by the US EPA's greenhouse gas inventory tools. However, our greenhouse gas inventory and emissions reduction strategies tend to focus more on anthropogenic emissions because these are the emissions within our control. We propose to include in our greenhouse gas inventory and definition of emissions whatever emissions sources – anthropogenic and/or biogenic – are recommended by the US EPA in alignment with IPCC guidance.

There are a variety of methods that can be used to estimate the greenhouse gas emissions from the electric sector. Our current accounting method for the electric sector is consumption-based, rather than generation-based.¹⁰ This means that we calculate emissions based on electricity used within Rhode Island, regardless of where the generation sources are located that provide the electricity.

The consumption-based approach reflects significant historical and ongoing change in the mix of fuels used to generate electricity in New England. When we consider consumption-based versus generation-based inventories, we have to consider how we can ensure that all emissions are accounted for by some state. Consider, for example, Rhode Island and Maine. Rhode Island's consumption-based inventory only accounts for emissions from an in-state fossil-based power plant if its output electricity is consumed in state. However, let's say Maine only has a production-based inventory but uses some of the electricity from the Rhode Island power plant. In this fictional example, the emissions produced in Rhode Island and consumed in Maine would incorrectly not be accounted for in either state's greenhouse gas emissions inventory. This would lead to too little climate mitigation action.

⁸ [US EPA](#)

⁹ [IPCC Glossary](#)

¹⁰ In May 2016, the EC4 voted to officially adopt a consumption-based methodology; [this memo](#) summarizes those considerations.

Therefore, it is critical that we work with neighboring states and states in our region to understand the flow of emissions and ensure emissions are accounted for. This comprehensive accounting also requires consistency in how inventorying is done across state borders. In the absence of consistent methodology, we will need to caveat our greenhouse gas inventory with an additional description of which emissions may not be included.



How do we ‘net’ these emissions?

Netting is the process of accounting for both sources of emissions and ‘sinks’ that cause emissions to be absorbed, broken down, or otherwise rendered incapable of contributing to climate change. For example, tree growth is considered a carbon sink because trees absorb carbon from the atmosphere. There are two methods by which we can net emissions. Rhode Island’s current greenhouse gas inventory first summarizes all greenhouse gas emissions sources as MMTCO₂e and then subtracts all greenhouse gas emissions sinks as MMTCO₂e.¹¹ An alternative method is to require that each specific greenhouse gas reached net zero. For example, the total methane emitted by all sources minus the total methane absorbed by all sinks is required to equal zero in 2050, as is required for each type of greenhouse gas.

Given the legislative objective of the 2021 Act on Climate to align Rhode Island’s greenhouse gas emissions with the latest science and recommendations to limit global warming and resulting climate change impacts, we propose continuing our current method of netting emissions because the summary measure of MMTCO₂e already encapsulates the total impact of emissions on climate change. In other words, netting each type of greenhouse gas provides no incremental aid in reaching our objective to limit climate change impacts, and may actually be more difficult to achieve.

‘Net-Zero’ refers to the requirement that the summary measure of greenhouse gas emissions emitted over the course of a calendar year less the summary measure of greenhouse gas emissions absorbed or otherwise broken down over the course of a calendar year equal zero. All emissions can be summarized in a measure such as million metric tons carbon dioxide equivalent (MMTCO₂e) using global warming potential factors which adhere to international standards, including the IPCC and UNFCCC, and are embedded within the US EPA’s greenhouse gas emissions inventory tools.

Rhode Island’s current greenhouse gas emissions inventory does not officially include any emissions sinks. While the US EPA’s greenhouse gas inventory tools do estimate emissions reductions from land use, land use change, and forestry (abbreviated LULUCF), these tools have known reliability issues and therefore are not included. We refer interested readers to the most recent Greenhouse Gas Emissions

¹¹ Technically, zero sinks are included in Rhode Island’s current annual emissions inventory. While the US EPA’s greenhouse gas inventory tools do estimate emissions reductions due to land use, land use change, and forestry (abbreviated LULUCF), these tools have known reliability issues and therefore are not included. We refer interested readers to the most recent Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory for more information

Inventory for more information about current practice and limitations.¹² Our 1990 baseline accounts for land use, land use change, and forestry, but uses a proprietary model – please see the chapter on the 1990 baseline for more information (forthcoming).

- As we progress toward 2050, we will need to develop a replicable and reliable method of accounting for emissions reductions due to land use, land use change, and forestry.

If future policy objectives arise, such that reaching net-zero for a particular type of greenhouse gas is a solution, then we should revisit our method of netting emissions. We may also consider estimating net emissions for each type of greenhouse gas if our capability evolves such that doing so is not too burdensome; doing so may provide additional insight about the efficacy of our emissions reduction strategies.



Another consideration is whether to net emissions economy-wide or require each sector within the economy reach net-zero emissions. Similar to the argument for netting MMTCO₂e rather than each type of greenhouse gas, netting emissions economy-wide achieves the legislative objective of limiting the impacts of climate change; netting by sector provides no incremental benefit. However, estimating emissions by sector may provide insight into the efficacy of our greenhouse gas emissions reduction strategies if data and tools are available to do so.

Stakeholders raised two critical concerns about the net-zero emissions mandate. First, stakeholders feared that netting emissions may alleviate a sense of urgency to reduce emissions sources; folks may rely too heavily on as-yet-developed future technology to remove greenhouse gases from the atmosphere. Second, stakeholders emphasized that emissions in our atmosphere will contribute to climate change regardless of the accounting practices we use in our emissions inventory; therefore, we must prioritize actions to reduce emissions rather than dwelling on how to inventory them.

Both concerns are valid and must be addressed. We propose three immediate responses related to maintaining a sense of urgency, limiting our reliance on not-yet-developed technologies, and recognizing the shortfalls of accounting.

Regarding urgency: while this 2022 *Update* defines our 2050 emissions reduction mandate, we also include priority actions needed to reach our interim 2030 emissions reduction target. Balancing the emphasis of short-term action with long-term understanding will help with identifying priorities now and developing the 2025 *Climate Strategy* over the coming few years.

¹² <http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/air/ghg-emissions-inventory.php>

Regarding future technologies: the priority actions identified within this plan are all related to reducing sources of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions and we plan to continue to stress a ‘mitigate first – net as a last resort’ principle in the *2025 Climate Strategy* and subsequent updates.

Regarding accounting: our greenhouse gas emissions inventories allow us to track progress so that we can adjust course if our strategies are not working as needed. We propose to update our greenhouse gas emissions inventory alongside metrics within our climate dashboard with the objective of continual self-evaluation and improvement. We also will rely on climate experts at the IPCC, US EPA, and at Rhode Island’s institutes of higher education to provide technical guidance that underlies our development of strategic policies.



Over what timeframe should we net emissions?

The process of netting emissions sums up the net of all emissions remaining in the atmosphere over a particular timeframe. Current practice is the net emissions over an annual timeframe, in which case the net of all emissions released into the atmosphere between January 1 and December 31, 2050 is required to equal zero. On the other hand, we could require net emissions to equal zero for each season, each month, each day, or even each hour.

There are tradeoffs to a longer timeframe versus a shorter timeframe. A longer timeframe – netting emissions on an annual basis – may be the most appropriate for a complex and volatile system. While more frequent netting – netting emissions sub-annually – may provide insights about seasonal emissions patterns and related emissions reduction strategies, natural randomness and volatility in our behaviors, our economy, and our environment may lead to spurious results and false insights. However, there may be some particular sectors or industries for which sub-annual netting might be appropriate. For example, industries with a defined ‘season’ (for example, heating) or with relatively insensitive emissions profiles (for example, some manufacturing) might benefit from more frequent netting to obtain more real-time feedback on emissions reduction strategies.

Two additional key considerations are our capabilities and the administrative burden of inventorying greenhouse gas emissions. First and foremost, our capabilities are dependent on capabilities built into existing inventory tools. At this time, we do not have the capability to track emissions on a daily or hourly basis. As tools evolve to include additional flexibility, then our capabilities may evolve as well. Given these capabilities, we want to strike the right balance between getting feedback on our strategies with actually doing the work called for by our strategies; and, importantly, we want to make sure the administrative work we do to measure emissions provides incremental and actionable insights. We propose continuing annual netting at this time, but reassessing capabilities, resources, and benefits within the *2025 Climate Strategy* and each subsequent iteration.

Exogenous limitations

Rhode Island should continue to align with best practices for greenhouse gas inventorying. We do so by leveraging inventory tools developed and maintained by the US EPA, and we rely on the US EPA to update these tools to be consistent with the recommendations of the IPCC.¹³ We do not envision Rhode Island developing its own tools, but we will strive to improve methods using the most recent science and coordinate accounting methodologies with the federal government and neighboring states. We can advocate for the US EPA to develop and enhance these key capabilities in future evolutions of their greenhouse gas inventory tools. Furthermore, our Triennial Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory should provide insights beyond a single point estimate of greenhouse gases by including a discussion of how this point estimate may be sensitive to certain assumptions and therefore imprecise or biased.

Non-quantitative metrics and lived experience

While our climate mandates entail specific greenhouse gas emissions reductions, the 2021 Act on Climate also discusses the need for strategies regarding climate justice, community resilience, and improving public health. These objectives cannot be represented by a single value of MMTCO₂e, so we cannot lose sight of the importance of non-quantitative metrics and lived experience. While this chapter discusses technical accounting methodology for estimating our greenhouse gas emissions, we should also lift up voices from communities across Rhode Island to share their experiences and trust their expertise on priority actions and success (or failure) of our climate strategies.

¹³ Specifically, Rhode Island uses the [US EPA SIT tool](#), the [US EPA MOVES tool](#), and a method developed in-house based on methodology developed by Massachusetts to estimate emissions from the electric sector. We refer interested readers to the most recent Rhode Island Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory for additional technical detail.

DRAFT

Greenhouse Gases

[To be added in April]

How we inventory

1990 baseline

2018 greenhouse gas inventory

Projections, modeling, review of key assumptions

DRAFT

Since 2016

The 2021 Act on Climate requires this *2022 Update* to “submit to the governor and the general assembly an update to the greenhouse gas emission's reduction plan dated ‘December 2016’.” Since 2016, we’ve had five years of experience, progress, and lessons learned. We present this information in three different ways.

First, we review the metrics we’ve been tracking since 2016 – these metrics represent an outcome-oriented snapshot of how we’ve worked to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in Rhode Island.

Second, we inventory the numerous studies, programs, policies and pieces of legislation that have contributed to our experience with climate mitigation, resilience, and adaptation since 2016 – by doing so, we provide an easy reference for readers to connect to these resources and learnings.

Third, we directly describe progress made (or in some instances, not made) on each pathway from the 2016 Plan – these descriptions supplement the outcome-oriented metrics with a process-oriented narrative.

Some readers may find this chapter to feel repetitive – it is by design. We are attempting to describe our actions since 2016 in multiple ways, with each providing a different perspective, level of detail, and intention for use moving forward.

A Snapshot of Metrics Since 2016

For this section, we leverage the framework of metrics from the “RI Snapshot” climate dashboard maintained by the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management.¹⁴ The Executive Climate Change Coordinating Council (EC4) and its Advisory Board are developing a new climate dashboard throughout 2022, to be used beginning in 2023.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

[Forthcoming concurrent with the release of the 2018 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory]

Clean Energy

As of September 31, 2021, the state has counted approximately 1,017 MW of clean energy generation capacity. This represents a 792 MW increase in under 5 years, or a 78% increase since 2016.¹⁵

Of Rhode Island’s current 1,017 MW total, 430 MW is offshore wind, 399 MW is solar, 144 MW is onshore wind, 35 MW is landfill gas/anaerobic digestion, and 9 MW is small hydroelectric power.

Including the 400 MW Revolution Wind project, approximately 85 percent of Rhode Island's current clean energy portfolio is comprised of in-state renewables or projects scheduled for adjacent federal waters.

Energy Efficiency

Since 2016, energy savings from utility energy efficiency programs has been accumulating. Table X shows energy savings for both electric and gas efficiency programs. Annual savings are savings which occur in a single year. Lifetime savings are estimated over the expected duration of installed efficiency measures.

¹⁴ [RI in the Fight Against Climate Change: A Snapshot](#)

¹⁵ As of June 2017, the state had counted only 225MW of energy generation capacity. Of that 225MW, 30MW was offshore wind, 104MW was onshore wind, 35MW is landfill gas/anaerobic digestion, and 11MW was small hydroelectric power.

Commented [GC(3)]: Throughout this section we provide the most recent metrics available. As we prepare the final report at the end of this year, we will thoroughly review and update metrics if new ones are available.

Table X. Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs 2016-2021

	National Grid	Pascoag Utility District	Block Island Utility District ¹⁶
Electric Annual Energy Savings Cumulative 2016-2021	1,128,943 MWh	671 MWh	10 MWh
Electric Energy Savings Cumulative over Expected Lifetimes of Measures Installed 2016-2021	10,166,520 MWh	3,980 MWh	59 MWh
Gas Annual Energy Savings Cumulative 2016-2021	2,468,022 MMBtu	Not Applicable ¹⁷	
Gas Energy Savings Cumulative over Expected Lifetimes of Measures Installed 2016-2021	26,327,149 MMBtu		

Heating

Residential and commercial heating contribute 25% of Rhode Island's greenhouse gas emissions, and industrial heat processes contribute another 10%.¹⁸ In 2017, roughly half of Rhode Island homes used natural gas for heating, a third used fuel oil, a tenth used electricity, and the remainder used another fuel like propane or wood.¹⁹

Green Jobs

In 2021, Rhode Island had 13,809 clean energy jobs.²⁰ The economic aftermath of COVID-19 resulted in the loss of roughly four years of clean energy job growth, sending Rhode Island's clean energy economy back to 2016 employment levels. Clean energy job losses represented about seven percent of all jobs lost in Rhode Island's overall labor market in 2020. This decline marks the first year of job losses since the state began tracking clean energy employment in 2014. Prior to COVID-19, Rhode Island's clean energy sector had experienced a 77% increase in jobs since 2014.

Impacts of COVID-19

While COVID-19 has severely disrupted life for all communities and businesses, the presence of COVID-19 does not lessen the urgency of climate change. That the 2021 Act on Climate passed in 2021 – amidst COVID-19 – is a testament to our need to mitigate the most severe impacts of climate change today and into the future. We have to be cognizant of pressures facing Rhode Islanders and consider these forces when developing future policies and programs, but climate

¹⁶ Energy savings for Block Island Utility District are for November 2020 through December 2021; data do not include savings from the *Block Island Saves Pre-Pilot* (2015-2016) or *Full Pilot* (2016-2017). For more information about Block Island Saves, please see the [Final Report](#).

¹⁷ Neither Pascoag Utility District nor Block Island Utility District operate a gas distribution system or offer gas supply.

¹⁸ [2017 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory](#)

¹⁹ [2017 Rhode Island Renewable Thermal Market Development Study](#)

²⁰ [2021 Clean Energy Industry Report](#)

mitigation and adaptation needs to continue to avoid overburdening communities with avoidable costs down the road.

Over the past couple years, we have felt the impacts of COVID-19 throughout our programs and our economy. We describe some but not all of the impacts here to provide some context for our progress. We discuss related impacts from supply chain distributions and real estate market dynamics elsewhere.

The economic aftermath of COVID-19 resulted in the loss of roughly four years of clean energy job growth, sending Rhode Island's clean energy economy back to 2016 employment levels. Employment across clean energy businesses declined by over 2,500 jobs (15.5%) between the last quarters of 2019 and 2020. By comparison, the overall statewide labor market declined by 7.4% during the same time. Clean energy job losses represented about seven percent of all jobs lost in Rhode Island's overall labor market in 2020. This decline marks the first year of job losses since the state began tracking clean energy employment in 2014 – prior to COVID-19, Rhode Island's clean energy sector had experienced a 77% increase in jobs since 2014.

Despite the unexpected shock of COVID-19, Rhode Island's clean energy labor market already appears to be bouncing back. Of surveyed clean energy firms in Rhode Island in the fourth quarter of 2020, four in ten indicated that they had laid off, furloughed, or reduced pay for their clean energy workers as a result of COVID-19. As of the end of 2020, three-quarters of these firms indicated that they had already brought back their laid off or furloughed clean energy staff. Job losses in 2020 were concentrated in March through May, with steady monthly job gains in June through December. With vaccinations on the rise and pandemic restrictions lifting, clean energy job gains are likely to continue throughout the remainder of the year.

Administratively, COVID-19 made some work more difficult to move forward as facility access to implement projects was more limited in 2020 and much of the planning and stakeholder engagement moved from in-person to fully remote.

In response to COVID-19, energy efficiency programs began providing the option of a virtual home energy audit. Instead of having an energy specialist walk through a participant's home, the participant video conferences with the energy specialist and shares videos and photos of key appliances. Shifting to virtual energy audits has not only demonstrated the industry's ability to safely adapt to COVID-19 conditions, but has been shown to result in increased responsiveness, higher convenience for participants, and may improve equitable access to this resource.

COVID-19 has changed how the Bank's Municipal Resilience Program operates, switching workshops from in person to online events and delaying some municipalities' participation in the program. Despite these challenges, participation in the MRP has remained strong, and online workshop events have allowed for more attendees than typically attend these events.

While the transition to remote meetings happened abruptly (starting with public workshops related to our Heating Sector Transformation report), we do not see any loss in public participation. On the contrary, attendance at remote events has increased and participation seems to be more robust. These insights have led to continued remote opportunities for stakeholder engagement, opportunities which reduce commute times and costs, obviate the need for some services to enable participation of some people, and are felt to provide comparative benefits like ease of seeing meeting materials and ability to participate either through oral comments or via written chat.

Even as COVID-19 restrictions ease, many are still suffering from economic and personal losses, and communities and businesses are still recovering. Our climate strategy does not exist in isolation – we must consider this ongoing context within our policies and programs. Climate action today should be designed to help communities and businesses recover by investing in our local economy, putting downward pressure on costs, and supporting improvements with simultaneous public health benefits, all while making real strides toward achieving our climate goals to mitigate the worst impacts of climate change.

Clean Cars

As of February 13, 2022, there are 4,896 electric vehicles registered in Rhode Island. 2,294 (47%) are Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles (PHEVs), and 2,575 (53%) are Battery Electric Vehicles (BEVs). This represents an 734% increase in EVs since 2015.²¹

As of February 25, 2022, there are 535 Level II (public and private) charging station ports, and 38 direct current fast charger (DCFC) ports. This represents a 625% increase in charging stations in RI since 2016.²²

Protected Land

Since 2010, 9,758 acres have been protected by the state.²³ From 2016 to 2022, an additional 3,585 acres have been protected by the state, representing nearly a 60% increase in conserved acreage since 2016.²⁴

Resilient Communities

As of 2021, 20 municipalities have participated in the Rhode Island Infrastructure Bank's Municipal Resilience Program (MRP). The MRP is a new program since 2016 and includes a robust stakeholder engagement approach to resilience planning. MRP workshops have hosted over 400+ participants, including municipal staff and community leaders. 400 potential resilience capital projects have been identified using this locally specialized approach.

As of 2021, 22 resilience projects have been funded through MRP Action Grants - a total of \$2.5 million in assistance. 95% of projects funded through MRP Action Grants to date have incorporated green infrastructure and/or nature-based solutions. \$7 million was allocated to the MRP through the 2021 Beach, Clean Water, and Green Economy Bond.

Lead-by-Example

The Rhode Island Lead-by-Example (LBE) program was initiated in 2017. Through the end of 2020, the State has achieved an 11.3% reduction in overall State facilities' energy consumption compared to a 2014 baseline. 95% of State Government electricity consumption is offset by renewables. Through the end of 2020, LBE investments saved \$98 million in lifetime electric and gas utility costs and reduced electricity consumption equivalent to that used by 6,010 homes annually.²⁵

60% of all State buildings are already or in the process of being converted to LED lighting with controls (through the end of 2020). 31 communities have received support to convert their municipal streetlights to

²¹ Source: Rhode Island Division of Motor Vehicles

²² Source: U.S. Department of Energy, Alternative Fuels Data Center

²³ Source: Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management, State Land Conservation Program, as of 12/31/2021

²⁴ DEM is currently collecting data on conservation projects completed at the local level by municipalities and land trusts and will add these numbers to this total by summer of 2022.

²⁵ [Rhode Island Office of Energy Resources Lead-by-Example Energy Initiative 2020 Annual Report](#)

LEDs with controls, representing nearly 90% of the State, and driving \$5.3 million in annual cost savings. 100% of State-owned streetlights have been converted to LED lighting with controls as well.

120 electric vehicle charging ports have been installed across State properties (through the end of 2020) and 10 solar PV systems have been installed at State facilities. 14.4% (54 vehicles) of light duty vehicles purchased or leased since December 2015 are zero-emission vehicles (through the end of 2020). This LBE work has supported 466 clean energy jobs.

Focus on Equity

Disproportionate impacts of COVID-19 on communities of color and major national events illustrating social injustice catalyzed a sincere focus on centering equity throughout our work. Historical systemic inequities have been built into our world today, which result in overburdening communities of color with higher energy burdens, worse public health outcomes, lower access to programs and resources, and worse environmental quality – among other things – relative to others. Rhode Island’s 2022 Update, 2025 Climate Strategy, and all future plans must address these inequities. A climate strategy that fails to address climate justice will not be the best strategy for Rhode Island’s fight against climate change.

Since 2016, we’ve seen immense growth in understanding about equity generally and climate justice specifically. This understanding should have already been in place, and our level of understanding today is still deficient. However, we are making some progress. While the 2016 Plan omits mention of equity or justice, we have centered these concepts in the recommendations stemming from our more recent studies and we will integrate explicit consideration of equity in the priority actions of this 2022 Update and throughout development of all future climate strategies.

Studies, Programs, Policies, and Legislation

Since 2016, we have conducted over a dozen additional studies, gained five years of additional experience running programs, have enacted a number of important policies and passed a number of important laws. In this section, we review what we’ve done and what we’ve learned.

Key Studies Since 2016

Since 2016, the State has conducted a number of in-depth studies deepening our understanding of decarbonization activities and enabling actions. The following list contains major studies either directly authored by state agencies or state-commissioned subject matter experts. These studies contain numerous data-driven and stakeholder-informed recommendations for future action that should be continually referenced throughout strategic climate planning.

The following list of studies is not complete but is illustrative of the large and growing body of work we can rely on as we continue to reassess and refine our climate strategy. This list does not include state plans in which stakeholders and agencies prioritize and plan investments in state infrastructure²⁶ nor does this list include retrospective evaluations of programs, though such evaluations are crucial to increasing the impacts of these programs. This list also omits studies conducted by federal agencies and non-governmental organizations that add to our understanding and depth of knowledge.

Commented [GC(4): Please note we will have a series of public sharing sessions specifically on Climate Justice this summer! Please reach out to us with suggestions for running these sessions or conducting outreach for these sessions. Please also reach out to us if you’d like to have a one-on-one discussion about climate justice. We will be happy to visit your community or attend an already-scheduled meeting for your community group.

²⁶ Such plans include the Long-Range Transportation Plan, State Transportation Improvement Program, Forest Action Plan, Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan, State Energy Plan, RIPTA’s Sustainable Fleet Transition Plan, or local comprehensive planning. We refer interested readers to the [State Guide Plan](#) developed and maintained by the Division of Statewide Planning for more information.

100% Renewable Electricity by 2030 (2020)

<http://www.energy.ri.gov/100percent/>

In January 2020, Executive Order 20-01 set a first-in-the-nation goal to meet 100% of Rhode Island's electricity demand with renewable energy by 2030. In 2020, the Rhode Island Office of Energy Resources (OER) conducted an economic and energy market analysis, and developed policy and programmatic pathways, to meet this goal. *The Road to 100% Renewable Electricity by 2030 in Rhode Island* provides economic analysis of the key factors that will guide Rhode Island in the coming years as the state accelerates its adoption of carbon-free renewable resources.

The study considers available renewable energy technologies, including their feasibility, scalability, costs, generation patterns, market value, and local economic and employment impacts, as well as barriers that may hamper or slow their implementation. It identifies ways to leverage competition and market information to ensure reasonable ratepayer costs and manage energy price volatility, while taking advantage of economic development opportunities within the state. Utilizing this information, OER developed specific policy, programmatic, planning and equity-based actions that will support achieving the 100% renewable electricity goal.

Solar Siting Opportunities (2020)

<http://www.energy.ri.gov/documents/renewable/Solar%20Siting%20Opportunities%20for%20Rhode%20Island.pdf>

In an effort to assist with planning future solar photovoltaic (PV) development within the context of other land-use interests such as conservation, agriculture, and housing development, the Rhode Island Office of Energy Resources (OER) contracted Synapse Energy Economics to develop an estimate of the likely solar potential available within a number of solar siting categories. We conducted this statewide study using a granular bottom-up approach, primarily through the use of geospatial data and geographic information system (GIS) software.

Synapse examined and quantified solar potential for rooftop solar (including rooftops of residential single family, residential multifamily, commercial, industrial, municipal, and other building types); ground-mounted solar on landfills, gravel pits, brownfields, and commercial and industrial developed and undeveloped lots; and in parking lots. These categories were identified by OER as types of locations that could aid in policymakers' decisions for balancing future solar PV development with other land use interests such as conservation, farming/agriculture and housing development.

The report finds that in aggregate across all six categories analyzed, technical potential for solar is between 3,390 megawatts (MW) and 7,340 MW, or 13 to 30 times the amount of solar that is currently installed in Rhode Island. This translates into 5,560 gigawatt-hours (GWh) to 12,600 GWh of electricity able to be produced. Median estimated upfront prices for these categories range from about \$3 to \$5 per watt. If this entire technical potential were installed, we estimate that up to 7.65 million metric tons of carbon dioxide (MMTCO₂) could be displaced, equal to about 70 percent of Rhode Island's total, current greenhouse gas emissions.

Use of Operating Agreements and Energy Storage to Reduce Photovoltaic Interconnection Costs (2022)

Conceptual Framework: <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy22osti/81960.pdf>

Technical and Economic Analysis: <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy22osti/80556.pdf>

From 2019-2022, the Rhode Island Office of Energy Resources, National Grid, Rocky Mountain Institute, National Renewable Energy Lab, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab, and Clean Energy States Alliance partnered on a project supported by the Solar Energy Innovation Network. This 2022 report explores one

integrated technical and process concept designed to manage interconnection costs and streamline interconnection timelines to support near-term renewable energy deployment. The report describes a new agreement between renewable energy developers and utilities, informed by a technical and economic analysis. The agreement defines the operational parameters for a renewable energy system, with the goal of reducing risk and cost to all parties. This work provides a foundation upon which states and utilities may build proof of concept.

Resilient Microgrids for Critical Services (2017)

<http://www.energy.ri.gov/reports-publications/past-projects/resilient-microgrids-for-critical-services.php>

The Rhode Island Office of Energy Resources commissioned the report Resilient Microgrids for Critical Services in 2017. In the wake of multi-day power outages due to severe weather events in recent years, OER sought consultant support for design of a program intended to enhance the energy assurance of critical infrastructure through deployment of distributed energy resources and other means. This effort draws from lessons learned in other states with similar programs. This report describes technologies, procurement strategies, and policies that can contribute to microgrid development.

Power Sector Transformation (2017)

<http://www.energy.ri.gov/electric-gas/future-grid/>

In November 2017, OER, along with the Division of Public Utilities and Carriers (DPUC) and Public Utilities Commission (PUC), issued a major report on how Rhode Island could develop a more dynamic regulatory framework to enable a cleaner, more affordable, and reliable energy system for the twenty-first century. Goals of transforming the power sector include controlling long-term costs of the electric system, giving customers more energy choices and information, and building a flexible grid to integrate more clean energy generation. This report describes recommendations for four workstreams: 1) utility business models, 2) grid connectivity and functionality, 3) distribution system planning, and 4) beneficial electrification. The recommendations in this report are based on significant stakeholder engagement, staff expertise, and consultation with national experts.

Docket 4600: Investigation into the Changing Electric Distribution System (2017)

<http://www.ripuc.ri.gov/eventsactions/docket/4600page.html>

From 2016-2017, the Public Utilities Commission investigated how a changing electric distribution system impacted their review of rate structures proposed in future proceedings. The resulting stakeholder report and order adopting the stakeholder report provide “a set of rate design principles and a benefit-cost framework to inform how rates could be set in a way to properly incent National Grid to meet state policies.” Importantly, this benefit-cost framework includes societal costs and benefits: greenhouse gas externality costs, criteria air pollutant and other environmental externality cost, and conservation and community benefits. Consideration of these factors provided a basis for which regulators can incorporate climate impacts into their decisions for certain applications.

Heating Sector Transformation (2020)

<http://www.energy.ri.gov/HST/>

The 2020 Heating Sector Transformation report identified and analyzed the state’s potential pathways to thermal decarbonization. It was the result of an executive order from July 2019, issued by Gov. Raimondo. The study was led by the Office of Energy Resources (OER) and the Division of Public Utilities and Carriers (DPUC) and conducted by the Brattle Group.

The report identified several different decarbonization pathways, generally categorized into two types: electrification or decarbonized fuels. The findings suggest that several pathways exist that would enable RI to decarbonize the thermal sector by 2050, and also maintain similar overall energy expenses for households to those of present day. Due to a number of factors, including uncertainty around the future rate of technological development, the Report recommended that none of the potential decarbonization pathways be foreclosed on, but rather a suite of thermal decarbonization efforts be pursued in the coming years. Work in the coming years should focus on education and laying the groundwork to support several decarbonization avenues.

Electrifying Transportation: A Strategic Policy Guide for Improving Public Access to Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure in Rhode Island (2021)

<http://www.energy.ri.gov/evplan/>

In August 2021, the Rhode Island General Assembly passed bills H5031/S0994 directing the Department of Transportation (RIDOT), the Division of Motor Vehicles (DMV), and the Office of Energy Resources (OER) to “develop, no later than January 1, 2022, a plan for a statewide electric vehicle charging station infrastructure in order to make such electric vehicle charging stations more accessible to the public.” In response, RIDOT, DMV, and OER, along with representatives from the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management (RIDEM) and Rhode Island Department of Health (RIDOH) – collectively the Project Team – developed Electrifying Transportation: A Strategic Policy Guide for Improving Public Access to Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure in Rhode Island.

The intent of this Strategic Policy Guide is threefold: First, the Project Team reviews the status quo of electric vehicles and their charging infrastructure, as well as current and prior programming. The purpose of this review is to establish where Rhode Island is with vehicle electrification as we look ahead to 2022. Second, the Project Team distills needs and recommendations heard from three months of public comment, three public listening sessions, and two dozen one-on-one meetings with agencies and external stakeholder organizations. The purpose of this report is to prioritize what we heard as the most critical items to integrate into future policies and programs. Third, the Strategic Policy Guide will be a working document from which agencies – and stakeholders – can coalesce around priorities and coordinate action in the years to come.

Clean Transportation and Mobility Innovation Report (2021)

<http://climatechange.ri.gov/documents/mwg-clean-trans-innovation-report.pdf>

This 2021 report published by the Mobility Innovation Working Group provides a bold and ambitious vision for Rhode Island’s transition to a cleaner and healthier transportation network. The scope of the report deals with short-and long-term trends that open opportunities for implementing new technologies and strategies to build a more equitable and environmentally responsible transportation system. The transportation sector represents the largest share of Rhode Island’s greenhouse gas emissions. In order to meet a net-zero future, bold initiatives are needed to electrify this sector while also encouraging infrastructure development and community design

Rhode Island’s uniquely small land area creates an opportunity to integrate and coordinate transportation and land use policy. The state’s single public transit agency, single statewide planning organization, and single major utility have the ability to streamline the framework for GHG emission reduction policies. Recommendations build off establishing Rhode Island as a national leader in transportation and climate commitments, unlocking economic opportunity and green job creation, while focusing on creating a healthier and more equitable environment for residents of our most overburdened and underserved communities.

Energy Efficiency Market Potential Study (2020)

<https://rieermc.ri.gov/resources/>

Commissioned in 2020 by the Energy Efficiency and Resource Management Council, this Market Potential Study covers the six-year period from January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2026 and estimates electricity, natural gas, oil, and propane energy savings; passive electric demand reduction savings and active demand response savings; and the costs and benefits associated with these savings.

Value of Forests (2019)

<http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/bnatres/forest/pdf/forest-value.pdf>

This 2019 report discusses and identifies ways in which trees, plants, and vegetation are beneficial to Rhode Islanders and the Ocean State as a whole. The study uses data and visual depictions to convey the benefits and impacts of a healthy forest, good management practices, and engaged community members. Furthermore, the study frames areas for improvement and conservation growth with regards to air and water quality, climate change, human well-being, and wildlife. 56% of Rhode Island's land area is covered by vital forests and The Value of Rhode Island Forests focuses on how best to maintain, grow, and understand the state's vast forestry, open space, and conservation land. RIDEM developed this plan in conjunction with the US Forest Service and the Rhode Island Tree Council.

Resilient Rhody (2017)

<http://climatechange.ri.gov/documents/resilientrhody18.pdf>

To accelerate climate resilience actions and investments, Governor Raimondo signed an Executive Order on September 15, 2017 calling for the development of the state's first comprehensive climate preparedness strategy. Following nine months of collaborative work, the 2018 Resilient Rhody strategy lays the groundwork for collective action, involving state agencies, municipalities, and statewide business, academic, and nonprofit partners. The strategy responds to changing weather and environmental conditions in Rhode Island caused by climate change and proposes bold yet implementable actions to better prepare the state for these impacts. Building on the climate leadership of state government, municipalities, and organizations, Resilient Rhody leverages existing studies and reports to identify critical actions that move from planning to implementation.

Resilient Rhody identified priority actions the state could take to build statewide resilience, as well as a need to work collaboratively with and in support of municipalities across Rhode Island to build resilience at the local level. In response to this need, RIIB introduced the Municipal Resilience Program (MRP) in order to provide clearer pathways to implement the shared priorities of Resilient Rhody with participating municipalities. The purpose of the Municipal Resilience Program is to help Rhode Island municipalities deepen their understanding of climate risk and adaptation approaches, as well as to assist municipalities to prioritize and implement local resilience actions, effectively increasing climate resilience across Rhode Island and advancing Resilient Rhody.

Climate Change and Health Resiliency (2015)

<https://health.ri.gov/publications/reports/ClimateChangeAndHealthResiliency.pdf>

This 2015 report by the Rhode Island Department of Health warrants mention because of its thorough review of climate's impacts on health. The report discusses implications of extreme heat and rising temperatures, air quality, extreme weather, water quality, marine bacteria, and vector-borne disease. Importantly, this report also discusses climate change's implications for mental health. The report provides some next steps for action which continue to be relevant to our recommendations today.

Carbon Pricing Study (2020)

<http://www.energy.ri.gov/documents/carbonstudy/final-rhode-island-carbon-price-study-report.pdf>

In response to a 2017 directive from the Rhode Island General Assembly, the Rhode Island Office of Energy Resources (OER) and the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management (DEM), in consultation with the Rhode Island Department of Transportation (DOT), contracted with the Cadmus Group and Synapse Energy Economics to investigate potential state and regional carbon pricing policy options to support Rhode Island in achieving the requirements laid out in the 2014 Resilient Rhode Island Act. This report provides an impartial assessment of the implementation considerations and potential impacts of illustrative carbon pricing policies.

The report outlines several key findings: A carbon price at the levels analyzed in this study would not achieve Rhode Island's 2050 greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction targets alone. Determining how to use revenue generated by the carbon price is a chief policy design step. Equity needs to be a conscious choice in both process and ultimate policy design. A carbon price has a small impact on electric vehicle (EV) adoption. A carbon price contributes, in a limited fashion, to increasing the adoption of air source heat pumps (ASHPs). A carbon price will create shifts in Rhode Island's economy, but aggregate economic impacts are expected to be negligible. A carbon price would generally have a limited aggregate impact on households. Wider geographic scope would lead to greater success.

Clean Energy Industry Reports (2016-2021)

<http://www.energy.ri.gov/cleanjobs/>

The 2021 Clean Energy Industry Report is the seventh iteration in a series of reports conducted and written by BW Research Partnership, Inc. under commission by the Rhode Island Office of Energy Resources and the Renewable Energy Fund at Commerce RI. Findings in this report are based on data taken from comprehensive 2021 U.S. Energy and Employment Report (USEER). The 2021 USEER utilizes data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (BLS QCEW 2019 Q2) and Current Employment Statistics, as well as survey data. The survey was designed and implemented by BW Research Partnership. This series of reports provide crucial insight into trends in Rhode Island's clean energy workforce.

Select New Programs Since 2016

This section highlights some programs that have supported decarbonization strategies in Rhode Island, focusing on new programs since the *2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan* was released. The main takeaway from the programs described below is that we have gained a lot of experience with offering programs to support decarbonization. This experience should be leveraged to support progress toward our 2030 mandate and these programs provide an existing vehicle for deploying funding to support our climate goals.

This is not a comprehensive inventory of programs – to keep this section manageable, we exclude many impactful programs that began prior to 2016, are limited in term and funded by external grants, or are not administered directly by state agencies. We also omit many significant refinements to existing programs that have increased their impacts and benefited Rhode Islanders.

Expanding Energy Efficiency Programs

While National Grid has a long history of administering an energy efficiency program for its customers, Rhode Island's two municipal-owned utilities have made notable advances in their own energy efficiency programs. Since 2016, we can now say we have full statewide coverage to support energy efficiency.

Following an initial pilot program in 2015-2016, Block Island customers were offered an expanded energy efficiency pilot program called 'Block Island Saves' in 2016-2017. In 2021, Block Island Utility District launched a full-scale energy efficiency program for its customers.

Electric customers in Pascoag saw their long-running program substantially expanded beginning in 2019. The new program offers more incentives for more types of energy efficiency upgrades for both households and businesses.

Renewable Energy Fund

CommerceRI's [Renewable Energy Fund](#) (REF) provides grants for renewable energy projects. Since the program started in 2014, nearly 500 applicants received Renewable Energy Fund grants totaling \$3.7 million for over 11 MW of grid-connected renewable energy.²⁷ Several notable program features have been added to the Renewable Energy Fund since 2016.

In 2017, the Renewable Energy Fund began incentivizing Community Renewables, including community solar. A community solar project is a large solar farm shared by more than one household. Its primary purpose is to allow members of a community the opportunity to share the benefits of solar power even if they cannot install solar panels on their roof or property.

In 2020, the Renewable Energy Fund began incentivizing the installation of [solar projects located on brownfields](#). Brownfields are former industrial or commercial sites where future use is affected by environmental contamination and are often ideal locations for renewable energy projects. By incentivizing the installation of solar on already disturbed sites, this feature helps reduce pressures to develop open space, forests or farmland for solar projects.

In 2020, the Renewable Energy Fund began piloting an enhanced incentive for solar projects that are paired with battery energy storage systems. Energy storage can help match the timing of renewable electricity production with that electricity is consumed, which can reduce strain on our electric grid during critical times and provide other grid support. Energy storage can also provide backup power when the power is out.

Supply Chain Challenges

Supply Chain Shortages due to COVID-19 have had dramatic impacts on construction costs for clean energy systems. Spikes in steel prices, other raw materials, and transportation costs have led to higher costs and delays for renewable energy systems, electric transportation, and electric heat pumps. Rising costs and supply chain issues continue to create uncertainties in the clean energy industry, especially with respect to the reliability of future employment opportunities given the ongoing pandemic.

Electric Transportation Programs

Since 2016, Rhode Island has offered several new programs to support electric transportation.²⁸ From 2016-2017, incentives for electric vehicles were available through a program called DRIVE. This program offered rebates up to \$2,500, based upon vehicle battery capacity. Over 250 Rhode Island drivers received rebates, totaling the programs funding limit of \$575,000. Electric vehicles using the DRIVE incentive were purchased at 15 different car dealerships across Rhode Island, generating over \$300,000 in sales tax revenue for the state. In 2022, an incentive program for electric vehicles will be re-launched.

²⁷ Data through 12/31/2021

²⁸ This section does include programs offered by third parties or federal agencies, but we recognize the importance of these programs.

From 2017 to 2019, the Office of Energy Resources supported the installation of electric vehicle charging infrastructure at public locations through the ChargeUp! program. This program provided applicants with incentives to support the purchase and installation of electric vehicle charging stations (Level 2 or higher) at publicly accessible locations. In addition, applicants that installed at least one charging station through this program could also qualify for incentives to support the purchase or lease of a new electric vehicle as part of their public sector fleet. ChargeUp! supported the installation of 49 dual charging stations and the purchase of 9 electric vehicles.

From 2019-2022, the Office of Energy Resources ran an incentive program for electric vehicle charging stations called Electrify RI, funding with \$1.4 million from the Volkswagen Diesel Settlement. Incentives varied from \$10,000 to \$40,000 based on the type of charging station (Level 2, or DCFC) and sector (workplaces, multi-unit dwellings, state and local government, and publicly accessible locations). As of February 25, 2022, Electrify RI supported the installation of 66 Level 2 charging stations with 132 ports, and 15 DCFC charging stations throughout Rhode Island. In 2022, federal funding will be available to further expand electric vehicle charging infrastructure.

Following recommendations from the 2017 Power Sector Transformation report, National Grid began its [Electric Transportation Initiative](#). This suite of programs includes a pilot to encourage charging at certain times of the day to reduce strain on the electric grid, an incentive program to offset some costs of installing electric vehicle charging stations, and technical assistance to support converting fleets from gas to electric.²⁹

[Incentives for Heat Pumps](#)

Converting heating systems to electric heat pumps is a key strategy to reduce emissions from heating. Incentives for installing heat pumps are new since 2016. These incentives have been offered by utility energy efficiency programs and by the Office of Energy Resources leveraging auction proceeds from the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI). Since 2016, incentives have supported hundreds of households – in 2021 alone, over 350 households were supported.

[Agricultural Energy Grant Program](#)

The Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management and Office of Energy Resources partnered to offer a grant program specifically designed to support farmers. The [Agricultural Energy Grant Program](#) that provides grant awards of up to \$20,000 for eligible energy efficiency and renewable energy projects at Rhode Island Farms. This funding helps local farmers "green" their operations and benefit from the related energy and cost savings through energy efficiency projects and by transitioning to renewable power. Funding for this program is made possible through the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI). Since 2016, grants totaling over \$770,000 have supported more than 40 projects.

[Lead-by-Example](#)

Signed in 2015, Executive Order 15-17 set forth specific goals for the State Administration to [lead-by-example](#). Since then, the Office of Energy Resources has devoted staff resources to leading this body of work and has expanded support to other public entities. To tout progress being made, the [Lead-by-Example Annual Awards](#) recognize achievement of public sector entities in implementing clean energy projects.

Since 2016, several Master Price Agreements (MPAs) have been developed to streamline procurement for state agencies and other public entities who wish to follow state procurement law. An MPA is a list of

²⁹ The Electric Transportation Initiative also included a discount on demand charged for eligible customers installing fast charging; this discount is no longer offered.

pre-qualified vendors from whom a procurer may solicit quotes. This purchasing mechanism expedites decarbonization by clearly defining proposal requisition processes and providing access to a pool of prequalified energy services vendors. [MPA 508](#) includes vendors to develop and install turnkey energy efficiency projects. [MPA 509](#) includes vendors to develop and install electric vehicle charging stations. [MPA 553/CR 44](#)³⁰ includes firms that can provide turnkey solar installation and maintenance services for public entities.

OER has also coordinated several competitive procurements of gas and electricity supply. These procurements, in addition to covering all State accounts, have also been made available to other public sector entities, such as quasi-state agencies and municipalities. By aggregating demand and leveraging economies of scale through a competitive process, OER and the Department of Administration aim to reduce energy supply costs and reduce energy price volatility for all participating public entities. The current electric contract will deliver approximately \$2.3 million in bill savings in 2021 compared to the default utility price and the current gas contract will deliver approximately \$2.1 million in bill savings in 2020 compared to the default utility price.

OER is now the central clearinghouse for all utility billing for State accounts. By collating and providing greater oversight over State agency utility bills, OER has been able to improve energy usage and cost forecasting, decrease payment errors, and analyze progress toward Lead by Example goals. Importantly, OER has been simultaneously working to increase public and inter-governmental transparency into these important data sets.

In February 2018, Rhode Island's first voluntary [Stretch Codes](#) were made available to private and public building construction and renovation projects. The codes were developed with the assistance of subject matter experts and were vetted through a public comment process. Rhode Island's Stretch Codes are meant to be used on a voluntary basis to guide the construction and/or renovation of buildings that use less energy, have less negative impact on the environment, and achieve higher levels of occupant health and comfort.

In 2021, the LED School Lighting Accelerator Pilot was launched to support the conversion of public-school facilities to LEDs in Central Falls and Providence. Public schools, particularly in economically challenged school districts, have not had the funding, technical expertise, and bandwidth to implement many clean energy upgrades. By providing the technical, procurement, and financial support needed to implement these projects, OER is helping to improve the operations, efficiency and learning environment in public school facilities. Should the pilot prove successful, the program will be scaled up to support additional districts.

Clean Energy Internship

Created in 2019, the [Clean Energy Internship Program](#) is designed to help provide internship opportunities in clean energy careers, ranging across sectors (e.g. energy efficiency, solar) and job types (e.g. direct construction, engineering, research). This program pairs students with host companies from Rhode Island. Student interns can develop professional skills under the mentorship of an industry partner to combat real world problems in energy and the environment. The Clean Energy Summer Internship program approved five interns providing a reimbursement to four clean energy host companies that totaled \$16,871.85 in calendar year 2021.

³⁰ CR-44 is a Continuous Recruitment procurement list. Similar to an MPA, a CR is a list of pre-qualified vendors. In contrast to an MPA, a vendor may apply to be included on a CR at any time through an open enrollment process.

Municipal Resilience

[Resilient Rhody](#) identified priority actions the state could take to build statewide resilience, as well as a need to work collaboratively with and in support of municipalities across Rhode Island to build resilience at the local level. In response to this need, Rhode Island Infrastructure Bank introduced the Municipal Resilience Program to provide clearer pathways to implement the shared priorities of Resilient Rhody with participating municipalities. The purpose of the Municipal Resilience Program is to help Rhode Island municipalities deepen their understanding of climate risk and adaptation approaches, as well as to assist municipalities to prioritize and implement local resilience actions, effectively increasing climate resilience across Rhode Island and advancing Resilient Rhody.

As of 2021, 20 municipalities have participated in the Municipal Resilience Program and the program's workshops have hosted over 400 participants, including municipal staff and community leaders. 400 potential resilience capital projects have been identified using this locally specialized approach. As of 2021, 22 resilience projects have been funded through MRP Action Grants totaling \$2.5 million in assistance. 95% of projects funded through MRP Action Grants to date have incorporated green infrastructure and/or nature-based solutions.

The Rhode Island Infrastructure Bank is also developing two new programs in 2022 to support resilience. MRP workshops statewide have identified a need for local capacity building, as well as a need for regional approaches that can address resilience projects spanning municipal boundaries. Rhode Island Infrastructure Bank is preparing to launch a pilot Regional Resilience Coordinator position at the Bank, within the MRP, to provide additional capacity for local resilience. The pilot position, a Regional Resilience Coordinator for Aquidneck Island, will assist island municipalities to advance intra- and inter-municipal resilience efforts, and will serve as a model for future Regional Resilience Coordinator positions at the Bank.

MRP municipalities have also expressed a need for increased design and engineering assistance, particularly for resilience projects implementing green infrastructure and nature-based solutions. In response, Rhode Island Infrastructure Bank will launch a new initiative in 2022: 'Creating a Centralized Nature-Based Resilience Program for RI.' This upcoming initiative, funded by the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation and conducted by the Rhode Island Infrastructure Bank in partnership with Narragansett Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve, University of Rhode Island Coastal Resources Center / Sea Grant, Save the Bay, and The Nature Conservancy, will assist MRP municipalities to advance resilience project ideas to construction ready designs.

Climate Change and Health Program

Since the 2015 [Climate Change and Health Resiliency](#) report, RIDOH has continued to support community resilience and adaptation efforts focusing on extreme heat, flooding, emergency preparedness, and sea level rise. Resilience building efforts with the Health Equity Zones have resulted in grants for urban greening and tree planting, community education and youth activities, and efforts to support senior living facilities, schools, and municipal cooling centers.

During the summer of 2020, RIDOH collaborated with the RIDEM Division of Forest Environment and American Forests to measure ambient air temperatures across several Rhode Island municipalities and neighborhoods. This project resulted in [a set of maps](#) that identifies urban heat islands and heat disparities during different times of the day. Areas where overnight temperatures stay high and where daytimes temperatures can be up to 12 degrees hotter than others are considered priority areas for heat mitigation using tree planting and other urban greening techniques.

In 2021, the RIDOH Climate Change and Health Program conducted a needs assessment with a small group of stakeholders. This assessment showed that we need to continue collaborating across state agencies to deepen our connection with the community and drive change through inclusion of a diversity of community voices. The Climate Change and Health Program sees its role as supporting community engagement, educating the public and other agencies about risks to human health, and building resilience and social cohesion. Additional resources are needed to continue this work at a meaningful level while integrating it into 2021 Act on Climate goals.

Policies and Legislation

The following list highlights some policies and legislation that showcase substantial commitments toward our climate goals. This list is not exhaustive, and every piece of policy and legislation matters. Interested readers should contact their local legislators to learn more about considerations in the General Assembly.

2021 Act on Climate

The [2021 Act on Climate](#) sets statewide, economy-wide climate goals that are both mandatory and enforceable. The Act requires the state reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 45% below 1990 levels by 2030, 80% below 1990 levels by 2040, and reach net-zero emissions by 2050. The Act also requires the development of this update to the *2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan* in 2022 and a comprehensive climate strategy by 2025, to be updated every five years thereafter.

Critically, the Act deems addressing the impacts on climate change to be within the powers, duties, and obligations of all state departments, agencies, commissions, councils, and instrumentalities, including quasi-public agencies. The Act gives each agency the authority to promulgate rules and regulations necessary to meet the Act's greenhouse gas emission reduction mandates.

COP26: Signaling Promising Momentum

In November 2021, the [United Nations Climate Change Conference](#) (called COP26) was held in Glasgow, Scotland. Many first-hand accounts of this remarkable meeting were shared afterwards, including from Rhode Island State Senator Dawn Euer at the December meeting of Rhode Island's Executive Climate Change Coordinating Council held in Newport.

Bill Gates also shared his experiences and observations in a [blog post](#). Mr. Gates noted three major areas of change that have happened since the last summit he attended in 2015; clean-energy innovation is higher on everyone's agenda, the private sector is now playing a major role alongside government agencies; and, there is much more public visibility and acceptance of climate adaptation.

Here in Rhode Island, all three of these areas have also evolved over that timeline. Innovation continues in our blooming offshore wind industry and is quickly developing in our transportation and heating sectors. The private sector is actively engaged in the climate dialog and the Chambers of Commerce, along with industry organizations like the Partnership for Rhode Island and the Ceres Group are consistently at the table. Also, organized labor has partnered with environmental groups to show the potential job opportunities and workforce development inherent in our response to climate change. Finally, the Municipal Resilience Program created by the Rhode Island Infrastructure Bank in partnership with The Nature Conservancy is amazing work that has extended the conversation on preparing Rhode Island cities and towns for the future.

We have come a long way since 2016. Innovation, engagement with the private sector, and adaptation are three examples highlighted by Mr. Gates on a global scale, and all efforts in all three of these areas are major elements of our efforts here.

Appliance Energy Efficiency Standards

In 2021, the General Assembly updated Rhode Island's energy and water efficiency standards for a number of common appliances.³¹ The legislation sets minimum efficiency standards for 15 household and commercial products which will save energy, save money, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. From 2023 to 2035, these standards are expected to reduce emissions by 256,000 metric tons.

Transportation and Climate Initiative

The Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management led Rhode Island's participation in the [Transportation and Climate Initiative](#) (TCI), a regional cap-and-invest policy proposal for the transportation sector. In December 2021, neighboring states Connecticut and Massachusetts paused their participation in this effort. As this effort depends upon the involvement of at least three jurisdictions, Rhode Island cannot move forward with TCI at this time. However, key insights about priorities for program design and revenue investment should be incorporated into future policies and programs.

Conversations about Solar Siting

Since 2016, increasing deployment of large solar PV systems in forested areas has raised concerns from stakeholders and the public about finding the right balance of renewable energy development amidst policy objectives like decarbonization and land conservation. These local conversations have informed studies (e.g. the Solar Siting Opportunities study, Value of Forests report), program design (e.g. REF Brownfields Program), and policies (e.g. municipal solar ordinances). These conversations should continue to inform our climate strategies, particularly related to decarbonizing our electric sector and preserving environmental benefits of Rhode Island's forests.

Increasing Biofuels

In 2021, legislation updated the [Biodiesel Heating Oil Act of 2013](#) to phase in higher percentages of biodiesel or renewable hydrocarbon diesel blended into home heating oil. The new law requires home heating oil to be 10% biodiesel or renewable hydrocarbon diesel in 2023, 20% in 2025 and 50% in 2030. Biodiesel is a fuel made from vegetable oils such as used cooking oil and soy byproducts. It must meet standards and is blended with petroleum heating oil to burn cleaner and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Rhode Island had previously required heating oil to be sold as a mix that contains 5 percent biodiesel, phased in between 2014 and 2017.

Offshore Wind

In 2016, Rhode Island became home to the first offshore wind project in the nation with the successful installation of the 30 MW Block Island Wind Farm. In 2019, another contract for the 400 MW Revolution Wind was approved. This new project is expected to reduce Rhode Island's greenhouse gas emissions by 11 MMTCO₂e, in addition to providing substantial local economic benefits including more than 800 direct construction jobs, 50 permanent jobs, and hundreds more jobs supported indirectly as the region's burgeoning offshore wind industry takes off. There have been calls in 2021 and 2022 to develop additional offshore wind resources to further decarbonize Rhode Island's electric sector and match the pace of offshore wind development regionally.

³¹ [RIGL 39-27.1 Appliance and Equipment Energy and Water Efficiency Standards Act of 2021](#)

Land and Forest Conservation

In 2016, the RI General Assembly amended the laws of the state as they relate to the conservation and preservation restrictions on real property (RIGL §34-39-5). The amendment makes it more difficult to remove land conservation restrictions. The result has been stronger land protection laws in Rhode Island.

Forests provide invaluable ecosystem services like carbon sequestration and storage that are essential to meeting the state's climate change goals. In recognition of this natural asset, the Rhode Island General Assembly passed the Forest Conservation Act in July 2021 (RIGL §2-27). The Act establishes a Forest Conservation Commission (FCC) to inventory the state's forestland, develop stronger tools and incentives for forest conservation, expand urban and community forestry, and grow the state's forest products industry.

Land Conservation and Real Estate

Land conservation efforts are significantly impacted by real estate market dynamics. Rhode Island's housing market has seen an unprecedented increase in value over the past several years. However, higher than ever development costs (i.e., roads, utilities, and home construction) have led to uneven expectations for the value of large land parcels, often leaving state land protection programs unable to match private market offers. Similarly, pressure for kilowatts of solar (renewable) energy has resulted in large tracts of undeveloped property being converted to fields of solar panels. Finding the right balance between solar development and the need to protect large pieces of undeveloped property continues to be the subject of much discussion at the local level and in the General Assembly. Siting guidance and incentives that push solar development away from large forested and agricultural parcels can help to protect Rhode Island's remaining open space.

It is an ongoing challenge to protect interconnected land areas of sufficient size to support wildlife, biodiversity, and ecosystem services for future Rhode Island generations. Large, interconnected conservation lands are particularly important as a strategy for adapting to climate change because the distribution of animals and plants are likely to shift and continue shifting as temperatures, rainfall and the timing of seasons continue to morph over coming decades. Ensuring the state can be ready to match available funding with the opportunity to protect such critical land resources should be a priority resilience measure.

Rhode Island's 400 miles of coastline is particularly vulnerable to episodic storms, erosion, coastal flooding, inundation and storm surge. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's February 2022 report ['Global and Regional Sea Level Rise Scenarios for the United States'](#) indicates that relative sea level along the contiguous US coastline is expected to rise on average as much over the next 30 years as it has over the last 100 years. Land conservation efforts that accommodate and proactively target areas to allow for the inland movement of coastal habitat, such as wetland migration, are increasingly being considered to help maintain natural storm surge buffers, wildlife habitat, wetland-dependent human activities, water filtration, and other ecosystem services coastal wetlands provide.

2021 Beach, Clean Water, and Green Economy Bond

The 2021 Beach, Clean Water, and Green Economy Bond dedicated \$7 million to Municipal Resilience Program matching grants to municipalities to restore and/or improve the resiliency of infrastructure, vulnerable coastal habitats, river and stream floodplains, and watersheds. The Bond passed with 78.3% support, allowing the Municipal Resilience program funds to further advance community resilience to the impacts of climate change.

In the pilot years of the Municipal Resilience Program, limited MRP Action Grant funds meant that the Bank could only offer Action Grants to municipalities who had completed their MRP workshop in the current award year. With the support of this State Green Bond, the Bank has been able to expand the call for MRP Action Grant proposals, allowing any community who completed a Municipal Resilience Program workshop in any year access to MRP Action Grant funds annually. With a successfully widened call for MRP Action Grant proposals in fall of 2021, the Bank seeks to continue offering annual MRP Action Grants to all MRP municipalities each year.

Progress on 2016 Pathways

The *2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan* organized its emissions mitigation strategies were organized as a set of pathways under three overarching objectives: build on state success, enable markets and communities, and leverage regional collaboration. We refer the reader to the 2016 Plan for the full description of each of these pathways. Here, we summarize the progress we’ve made since 2016 and comment on the progress we still need to make. For additional detail on specific items since 2016, we refer readers to the other sections within this chapter.

Build on State Success

The *2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan* noted that “Rhode Island has existing policies and proven models to address nearly all mitigation options, creating a strong foundation the State can build upon to reach our goals.” Since 2016, Rhode Island has continued to be a leader in our climate efforts.

Energy Efficiency

Rhode Island has continued to invest in its energy efficiency programs. In 2021, the General Assembly extended the statutory obligation to offer energy efficiency through 2029.³² Programs have also been initiated and enhanced for customers of Pascoag Utility District and Block Island Utility District.

One notable achievement of these energy efficiency programs is their influence on transforming the lighting market. Programs in previous years emphasized incentives that reduced the customer cost of energy efficient lighting, a very cost-effective low hanging fruit to reduce energy use. Thanks to these incentives and appliance efficiency standards, energy efficient LEDs are now the prominent type of lighting technology, rendering utility incentives for LEDs unnecessary in most applications. Today’s energy efficiency programs are in the transition to incentivizing other efficient technologies like building automation, high-efficiency HVAC, and weatherization.

The 2016 Plan recommends “policymakers could address a critical gap in existing programs – limited energy efficiency services for delivered fuels (heating oil and propane) customers, a group comprising over one-third of all heating customers. A sustainable funding and/or financing solution is needed for these users to enjoy full and equal access to energy efficiency programs.” Rhode Island continues to lack this sustainable funding solution for customers relying on delivered fuels for heating.³³ Short-term, limited funding has been proposed as a stop-gap solution, but we must come up with a permanent funding stream to achieve the level of heating decarbonization needed to meet our longer-term climate mandates.

³² [Least-Cost Procurement Statute](#)

³³ Some funding is available to incentivize some efficiency measures for electric customers who heat with delivered fuels (e.g. weatherization).

The 2016 Plan recommends screening additional appliances to see whether enacting or updating energy efficiency standards may be warranted – in 2021, Rhode Island did indeed enact updated appliance efficiency standards.³⁴

Last, the 2016 Plan recommends making energy costs of purchases visible to consumers including through building energy disclosure and labeling. While discussions have occurred, no such statewide policy has been enacted.

Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Reductions

The 2016 Plan notes a number of considerations that may encourage the reduction of vehicle miles traveled, including increasing transit and mode share ridership targets, integrating transportation and land use planning, using price signals to discourage solo driving, and investing in alternative modes of mobility.

In 2019, Rhode Island launched the Mobility Innovation Working Group, a 26-member panel of experts comprised of equal participation from the private and non-profit sectors as well as key state agency representatives. The two-year effort culminated in a thorough strategy for improving mobility broadly, including additional thinking around reducing vehicle miles traveled. To date, there has been no concerted action to expressly reduce vehicle miles traveled. On the contrary, all efforts have been based on strategies to improve the relative attractiveness (e.g. convenience, cost savings) of alternative forms of mobility (e.g. transit, biking, walking) and better connect residents with destinations (e.g. state and local comprehensive plans).

Clean Energy

The 2016 Plan recommends aligning “in-state renewable energy policy and deployment targets to be consistent with the broader goal of a 99% clean regional grid by 2050. As part of this consideration, policymakers would need to weigh the comparative costs and benefits of different pathways (e.g., local versus regional renewables, the role of different technologies, and the need for incremental distribution or transmission investments).” Rhode Island’s 100% Renewable Electricity by 2030 report analyzes the trade-offs between various technology pathways to meet all of Rhode Island’s electricity demand with renewable energy resources. Among other important insights, this report recommends enacting an accounting mechanism to ensure Rhode Island either generates or offsets all its electricity consumption with renewable energy resources. Accordingly, legislation has been proposed in 2021 and 2022 that would strengthen Rhode Island’s Renewable Energy Standard to 100% over the coming decade.³⁵ Furthermore, while continued work has been done to refine our in-state renewable energy programs and increase their impact, the 100% Renewable Electricity by 2030 report recommends further discussions to

³⁴ [RIGL 39-27.1 Appliance and Equipment Energy and Water Efficiency Standards Act of 2021](#)

³⁵ There are two ways for electric distribution companies and non-regulated power producers to comply with the Renewable Energy Standard (RES): either they can purchase renewable energy certificates (RECs), which represent 1 MWh of renewable energy generated and delivered to the electric grid or they can make alternative compliance payments (ACPs) to the Renewable Energy Fund managed by Commerce RI. Within Rhode Island’s accounting methodology for electric sector emissions, if full RES compliance is met through the purchase of RECs, then electric sector emissions will drop to zero. However, if a portion of compliance is met through alternative compliance payments, then that proportion of electric sector emissions will be equal to emissions from the regional fuel mix. The ACP functions as a price ceiling, allowing electricity providers to comply with the RES mandate if REC shortages occur. Commerce RI uses the Renewable Energy Fund (REF) to support the development of new renewable energy projects. In turn, these projects generate RECs, theoretically helping to ameliorate tightening of the REC market and influencing the economics of renewable energy systems on the regional electricity supply market.

find and realize an optimal balance between program costs and benefits across our entire portfolio of programs.

Electric Heat

The *2016 Plan* noted the importance of transitioning to energy efficient electric heat, and the 2021 Act on Climate’s stronger emissions mandates will necessitate this strategy even more. To offset costs of transitioning to efficient electric heating, the *2016 Plan* suggests using existing energy efficiency programs which would require “further policy guidance is needed to allow electrification of heating to fully qualify as an activity under the State’s energy efficiency program or another energy program.” Regardless of programmatic avenue to deploy funding and assistance, sustainable funding is needed.

While there is a long-term funding source identified for upgrading inefficient electric heating systems (e.g. electric resistance) to efficient electric heating (e.g. heat pumps), this is not the case for supporting customers who would like to switch fuels. We have since employed short-term and limited funding sources as a stop gap measure to support fuel switching. Rhode Island has not yet identified a long-term source of funding that can support energy efficient heating electrification, particularly for customers who currently rely on delivered fuels, within our current statutory framework.

Biofuel Heat

In line with recommendations from the *2016 Plan* to increase the existing statewide bioblend standard, the General Assembly updated the [Biodiesel Heating Oil Act](#) in 2021. The strengthened Act now requires all home heating oil sold in Rhode Island to be 10% biodiesel or renewable hydrocarbon diesel in 2023, 20% in 2025, and 50% in 2030.

Electric Vehicles

The *2016 Plan* recommends “further initiatives to incentivize the adoption of electric vehicles and charging infrastructure would be needed to achieve the aggressive market penetration levels necessary to meet long-term GHG reduction targets.” Accordingly, Rhode Island has deployed several incentive and assistance programs to support electric vehicle purchases and installation of charging infrastructure, with significant incentive programs and funding becoming available in 2022.

In line with recommendations, the Rhode Island Public Transit Authority is working to convert its entire fleet to electric or zero-emissions buses by developing an action plan in 2022 and the Department of Transportation is conducting a study to understand implications for gas tax revenues and resulting policy considerations to alleviate ensure sustainable funding for our transportation infrastructure. These commitments, along with many others by all state agencies represented on the Executive Climate Change Coordinating Council, are described in the report [Electrifying Transportation](#).

The *2016 Plan* also recommends “future planning for the state’s passenger and freight rail transportation system could also evaluate electrification as a strategy aligned with long-term greenhouse gas reduction targets.” Electrification continues to be discussed and is considered in [Transit Forward 2040](#), a collaboration between the Rhode Island Public Transit Authority, the Rhode Island Department of Transportation, and the Rhode Island Division of Statewide Planning.

More generally, the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management (RIDEM) has enacted or is in the process of enacting two key regulations that will reduce emissions from vehicles since 2016. In 2019, RIDEM amended their existing Air Pollution Control Regulation to align with California’s Low

Emission Vehicle emissions standards for passenger cars and trucks.³⁶ By the end of 2022, RIDEM will further amend this regulation to adopt by reference California’s Advanced Clean Truck (ACT) Rule and Heavy-Duty Engine and Vehicle Omnibus rules (HD Omnibus).³⁷ The ACT Rule will require medium- and heavy-duty vehicle manufacturers to sell zero-emission vehicles (ZEVs) as a certain percentage of sales in our state. Manufacturers must increase their zero-emission truck sales depending upon the class size of the truck. The HD Omnibus rules will require lower nitrogen oxides (NOx) and fine particulate matter (PM2.5) emission standards for new truck engines (both diesel and non-diesel engines), in addition to other requirements for these engines.

Transportation Biofuels

The *2016 Plan* suggests “Rhode Island could explore the feasibility of establishing a statewide bioblend standard” similar to bioblending for heating oil. Such a standard has not been enacted to date.

Land Use Conservation

The *2016 Plan* suggests considering “adoption of a ‘no net-loss of forests’ policy.” While such a policy has not been enacted per se, recent policies have strengthened land and forest conservation (RIGL §34-39-5 and RIGL §2-27). Renewable energy programs have also been developed to nudge renewable energy development away from forested areas and onto previously disturbed sites.

Other

This section outlines three ‘other’ pathways described in the *2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan*. In addition to the updates below, in 2021, RIDEM also enacted a new Air Pollution Control Regulation to prohibit manufacturers from selling products (air conditioning and refrigeration equipment, aerosol propellants, and foam) that contain a certain particularly potent greenhouse gas.³⁸

Natural Gas Leaks

The *2016 Plan* recommends “continuation of National Grid’s gas infrastructure repair and replacement program to address fugitive methane leaks in the state’s gas distribution system.” Indeed, this work has continued in collaboration with the Division of Public Utilities and Carriers and under the regulatory oversight of the Public Utilities Commission.³⁹ Approximately 300 miles of leak prone pipe has been abandoned since 2016 along with approximately 15,000 leak prone services – all of which reduces leaks from the pipeline gas system.

Energy Storage

The *2016 Plan* recommends “pursuit of policies to promote energy storage, which can provide many types of system benefits, including integrating clean energy resources in a more cost-effective manner.” Since 2016, two key programs have been deployed to encourage energy storage: payment for performance of energy storage systems during demand response events and incremental incentives for solar PV systems paired with energy storage. The report 100% Renewable Electricity by 2030 echoes the

³⁶ Part 37 of the Air Pollution Control Regulation, “Rhode Island’s Low-Emission Vehicle Program” (250-RICR-120-05-37).

³⁷ Amendment to Part 37 of the Air Pollution Control Regulation, “Rhode Island’s Low-Emission Vehicle Program” (250-RICR-120-05-37).

³⁸ Part 53 of the Air Pollution Control Regulation, “Prohibition of Hydrofluorocarbons in Specific End Uses” (250-RICR-120-05-53) prohibits manufacturers from selling products that contain high global warming potential hydrofluorocarbons.

³⁹ Plans for identifying and prioritizing the replacement of ‘leak-prone pipe’ are proposed in annual Infrastructure, Safety, and Reliability Plans. The most recent plan is included in [Docket 5210](#).

recommendation to build out a strategic role for energy storage as we increase renewable energy on our regional grid; this work has not yet begun.

Solid Waste

The *2016 Plan* recommends we put in place “strategies to reduce methane emissions from the Central Landfill.” The RI Resource Recovery Corporation (RIRRC) continues to maintain a landfill gas (LFG) recovery system at the Central Landfill. LFG, which contains methane, is captured, converted, and used as a renewable energy resource. Using LFG helps to reduce odors and prevents methane from migrating into the atmosphere and contributing to climate change. Rhode Island’s Central Landfill has one of the largest methane-to-energy plants in the country.

In 2015, RIRRC completed a waste characterization study that highlighted a significant opportunity to extend the life of its Central Landfill by further diverting organics from the municipal residential waste stream. Anaerobic decomposition of organic materials in landfills produces methane, a greenhouse gas with global warming potential many times higher than carbon dioxide. In 2018, RIRRC’s Long Term Solid Waste Alternatives Study subsequently identified several means for processing this material. Then, in 2019, RIRRC identified 13 potentially viable collection scenarios that could be pursued for the technologies short-listed in the 2018 Alternatives Study. Recognizing that collection costs are a significant consideration of overall program delivery, RIRRC issued a request for proposals in 2020 to better understand the collection scenarios for organics that could be pursued in Rhode Island and what their associated costs may be – results of this analysis are expected in 2022. The co-benefit of reducing organics in the Central Landfill as a means to extend the life of the Central Landfill will be reduced methane emissions.

Enable Markets and Communities

The *2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan* noted that “Rhode Island’s best resources are our people and communities – with the right support, we can remove barriers to clean energy market growth, consumer education and engagement, partnership of utilities, and public sector leadership.” This strategy of partnership and collaboration has not only been foundational for Rhode Island’s leadership but has improved since 2016.

Grow Clean Economy Jobs

The *2016 Plan* provide three recommendations for state policymakers: “fostering nascent local clean energy industries, supporting innovation in clean energy, providing workforce training, and assisting incumbent fossil fuel industries (e.g., the delivered fuels industry) and disadvantaged communities with resources to excel in the burgeoning clean energy marketplace.”

We point readers to the Office of Energy Resources annual [Clean Energy Industry Report](#) for more details about job growth and industry trends but note a few key items here. First, Rhode Island is working hard to position itself as a hub for the domestic offshore wind supply chain. For example, the 2019 contract for the 400 MW Revolution Wind offshore wind project includes \$4.5 million in investments for Rhode Island’s ports and offshore wind workforce.⁴⁰ Second, Rhode Island continues to support the local solar industry through programs that incentivize solar (e.g. RE Growth Program, Renewable Energy Fund) and partnerships for workforce development (e.g. Clean Energy Internship Program). Third, in 2022 the Department of Labor and Training is leading an industry convening to assess workforce development needs for increasing consumer adoption of electric transportation. Fourth, the proposed electric heating

⁴⁰ [Press Release from April 2019](#)

program (if approved by the General Assembly, to be funded through the American Rescue Plan Act) includes supporting workforce development as a key component.

Further strategic analysis needs to be conducted to recommend specific action items needed to support a just transition with living wages as part of the development of the *2025 Climate Strategy*, as required by the 2021 Act on Climate.

Empower Citizens and Communities

The *2016 Plan* lists barriers to consumer adopt of decarbonized technologies: “low customer awareness and confidence in previously unfamiliar products; access to and availability of financing solutions; soft costs related to permitting and regulatory hurdles; technical assistance for municipalities to implement solutions.” These barriers are still present, but some work has attempted to mitigate them. This work includes consumer education and outreach campaigns (e.g. via Ocean State Clean Cities Coalition, via the Energy Efficiency and Resources Management Council), financing through energy efficiency programs and the Rhode Island Infrastructure Bank (e.g. HEAT Loan, on-bill repayment, Efficient Buildings Fund), and technical support for municipalities (e.g. via the Municipal Resilience Program, via OER’s Shared Energy Manager pilot program). However, gaps remain and barriers are still present, which necessitates continued work to empower citizens and communities, and particularly low-income and vulnerable communities.

Foster a More Dynamic Regulatory Model

The *2016 Plan* states “state policymakers and utility regulators will continue initial efforts already underway to consider thoughtful changes to utility planning, business models, performance incentives, and rate design in order to enable a transition to the future grid that values, integrates, and plans for growth in clean energy and carbon-free resources, while maintaining a safe and reliable electric system.” This statement alluded to the Power Sector Transformation initiative, which resulted in a stakeholder report in 2017. Resulting recommendations led to National Grid’s programs related to electric transportation and proposals for both modernizing our electric grid and deploying advanced metering infrastructure. While these two proposals were filed in 2021, they have been on hold while other regulatory proceedings are resolving. The Power Sector Transformation report also includes a number of recommendations that should continue to be considered.

Lead-by-Example

The *2016 Plan* advocates for the “state government to serve as an early adopter to demonstrate the benefits of greenhouse gas mitigation and clean energy solutions.” In accordance with this recommendation, the Office of Energy Resources has supported state agencies across government leading by example with reducing energy use and cost, deploying renewable energy systems, transitioning fleets to electric, and installing electric vehicle charging infrastructure, among other accomplishments. These efforts to date will save Rhode Island nearly \$100 million in energy costs over the lifetime of projects implemented.⁴¹

The *2016 Plan* extends leading by example to municipalities and communities: “at the local level, cities and towns can play an important role in achieving state greenhouse gas targets by integrating mitigation into community planning efforts, setting their own reduction goals, investing in clean energy projects, and directly engaging with diverse community voices.” Programs like the Municipal Resilience Program and the Shared Energy Manager pilot program have supported these local efforts. Localities have also demonstrated their leadership in climate planning and community engagement. For example, the City of

⁴¹ [Lead-by-Example 2020 Annual Report](#)

Providence has been widely recognized for their 2019 [Climate Justice Plan](#) and applauded for process of co-development between their Office of Sustainability and the Racial and Environmental Justice Committee of Providence.

Leverage Regional Collaboration

The *2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan* noted that “Rhode Island has a fruitful history of working cooperatively with neighbors to seek scalable, cost-effective solutions to mutual challenges; climate change mitigation is one such area that is ripe for strong regional partnerships.” This strategy of regional collaboration has continued since 2016.

Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI)

The *2016 Plan* advocates for Rhode Island’s continued participation in the [Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative](#) (RGGI) and recommends advocating for program design elements that align RGGI emissions reductions with state climate mandates. Rhode Island has continued to be an active participant in RGGI since 2016. A program review is currently underway throughout 2021-2023, which will inform RGGI program design for future years.⁴²

Transportation and Climate Initiative (TCI)

In accordance with *2016 Plan* recommendations to continue participation in the [Transportation and Climate Initiative](#) (TCI), Rhode Island continued to pursue TCI and consider legislation through 2021. However, in December 2021, neighboring states Connecticut and Massachusetts paused their participation in this effort. As this effort depends upon the involvement of at least three jurisdictions, Rhode Island cannot move forward with TCI at this time. However, key insights about priorities for program design and revenue investment should be considered in future policies and programs, and Rhode Island should leverage regional partnerships as opportunities arise.

New England Governors/Eastern Canadian Premiers

The *2016 Plan* supports Rhode Island’s continued engagement with the New England Governors/Eastern Canadian Premiers (NEG/ECP). In 2018, NEG/ECP’s Climate Change Steering Committee submitted the [2017 Update of the Regional Climate Change Action Plan](#) and is currently working to execute this new report through various committees.

Other Regional Work

The *2016 Plan* offers additional ideas for regional collaboration, including through renewable energy procurements and carbon pricing. Doing so is also a recommendation of the 100% Renewable Electricity by 2030 report. Regarding the *2016 Plan*’s specific suggestions, Rhode Island leveraged a procurement by Massachusetts to contract for the 400 MW Revolution Wind offshore wind farm, and we conducted a study to examine the impacts of carbon pricing in 2020.

Also of note is a [vision statement](#) submitted in 2020 by the New England States Committee on Electricity (NESCOE, of which Rhode Island is a member) to ISO-NE, the organization that operates and maintains our region’s transmission system. The NESCOE vision statement lays out three recommendations: First, wholesale markets need to be redesigned such that state-procured renewable energy systems are accounted for and properly valued. Second, transmission planning needs to account for substantial long-term deployment of renewable energy resources to meet states’ decarbonization goals. Third, ISO-NE’s governance needs to better reflect states voices and improve opportunities for public participation.

⁴² For more information about the RGGI Program Review and for opportunities to participate, visit <https://www.rggi.org/>.

DRAFT

Through NESCOE, New England states continue to work collaboratively to improve our regional transmission system.

In relation to transportation emissions: recognizing the urgent need for action, a diverse coalition of 18 jurisdictions in the United States and Canada has committed, through the [Multi-State Medium- and Heavy-Duty Zero Emission Vehicle \(ZEV\) Memorandum of Understanding](#) (MOU), to work to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and harmful air pollution by accelerating the market for zero-emission trucks, vans, and buses. Participating jurisdictions include the states of California, Colorado, Connecticut, Hawaii, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, and Washington, the District of Columbia, and the province of Quebec. To achieve a timely transition and ensure near-term progress, the participating jurisdictions committed to strive to make at least 30 percent of sales of new medium- and heavy-duty vehicles ZEVs by 2030, and 100 percent of sales ZEVs by no later than 2050.

DRAFT

Meeting our 2030 Mandate

[To be added in May]

Climate Change: Local Action for a Global Issue

[To be added in May]

Priority Actions for the Electric Sector

[To be added in May]

Priority Actions for the Transportation Sector

[To be added in June]

Priority Actions for the Thermal Sector

[To be added in July]

Priority Actions Related to Land use

[To be added in August]

Priority Actions to Address Climate justice

[To be added in September]

Priority Actions for State Agencies

[To be added in October]

Considerations for the General Assembly

[To be added in October]

DRAFT

Looking ahead to the *2025 Climate Strategy*
[To be added in November]

DRAFT

DRAFT

Appendix: Stakeholder Engagement

Summary

[To be added in November]

DRAFT

November Sharing Session on Scope of 2022 Update

On November 16, 2021, the EC4 held a public sharing session to help scope the *2022 Update* to the *2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan*. This session was held via Zoom and a project webpage was first updated with notice of the session on October 28. The project team, which is comprised of staff and leadership from the Office of Energy Resources and Department of Environmental Management with guidance and input from the remaining EC4 agencies/offices, conducted outreach for the sharing session beginning November 2, with emailed announcements distributed to OER's and EC4's distribution lists, and announcements made at the Energy Efficiency and Resource Management Council's public meeting on November 8 and the Green Buildings Advisory Committee's public meeting on November 16.

At the sharing session, RIDEM Acting Director Gray and State Energy Commissioner Ucci provided introductory remarks and summarized the directives of the 2021 Act on Climate. Liz Stone, EC4 Coordinator for DEM, reviewed the development and contents of the *2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan*. Dr. Carrie Gill, Chief Economic and Policy Analyst for OER, led participants in a facilitated discussion. Finally, Ms. Stone concluded the sharing session with next steps. The slide deck used for this session was made available on www.climatechange.ri.gov/aoc on November 17.

The sharing session was attended by 89 people including Senator Anderson, Representative Carson, Representative Cortvriend, and Senator Valverde, and Karen Bradbury on behalf of Senator Whitehouse, as well as EC4 members RIDEM Acting Director Gray, State Energy Commissioner Ucci, and DPUC Administrator George. State Administration representatives also included staff from OER, DEM, DOT, DSP, DPUC, RIPTA, DOA, and Commerce. Several stakeholder groups were represented as well, including Acadia Center, Green Energy Consumers Alliance, Audubon Society, Conservation Law Foundation, The Nature Conservancy, Environmental Council of Rhode Island, National Grid, Brown University, and members of the clean energy industry.

Attendees were asked to complete surveys before and after the sharing session. Twenty-four (24) attendees (27%) completed the pre-session survey – while the response rate is typical, the low number of completions should only be interpreted as being suggestive of general trends. According to the pre-session survey, 29% of respondents represented state government and 29% of respondents represented environmental organizations. Most respondents (84%) were already familiar with the *2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan*. The demographics of respondents skewed white, non-hispanic/latinx, and female. While these data should not be interpreted as conclusive trends in participation, they do suggest likely underrepresentation of several communities across Rhode Island, including indigenous communities and people of color, as well as Rhode Islanders not already familiar with state climate efforts.

- Recommendation for continuous improvement: Partner with community groups to attend existing group meetings and hold sharing sessions directly within communities

The facilitated discussion walked through four discussion prompts, all with the aim of reaching consensus on the scope of the *2022 Update*.

First, attendees were asked to describe their objectives for this update. Attendees suggested over twenty objectives and engaged in lively discussion both orally and in Zoom's chat feature. Notes describing suggested objectives were taken in real time on the screen. Following the brainstorming session, objectives were grouped into similar themes to produce the following set of objectives.

Objectives of the 2022 Update to the 2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan

The 2022 Update should:

- ☐ Be responsive to the 2021 Act on Climate
- ☐ Center equity and be developed using a meaningful public participation process
- ☐ Leverage lessons learned since 2016
- ☐ Build a foundation for the 2025 Climate Strategy
- ☐ Reconsider and confirm technical aspects of modeling, be action oriented, promote resilience and reliability, and emphasize the role of renewable energy resources
- ☐ Focus on near-term actions to achieve the 2021 Act on Climate's 2030 mandate

What are the objectives of the 2022 Update?

This slide reflects notes taken during the sharing session based on discussion and comments- these notes are not comprehensive but are meant to be illustrative of general themes.

<p>Action Oriented Update</p> <p>Make clear how to put resources in place in the nearterm (e.g. FTEs)</p> <p>Clarify steps and roles to achieve goals, political tool</p> <p>Look at MA 2030 Plan- separate recommendations by sector with action items with who is responsible</p> <p>Concrete action items; blueprint for action +1; directives for shortterm</p> <p>Make roles clear; consider and direct funding/support streams; how to measure accountability</p>	<p>Technical Update</p> <p>Deeper decarbonization goals</p> <p>Re-consider technical details of modeling</p> <p>Leverage Lessons Learned</p> <p>Restructuring discussion to align with emissions sectors</p> <p>Account for substantial planning/research/analysis since 2016</p> <p>What has worked or not since 2016</p> <p>Integrate critical forests and land conservation (and recently created commissions)</p>	<p>Role of Renewables</p> <p>Evaluate solar programs and policies; role of residents and business</p> <p>Optimize renewable energy</p>
<p>Meaningful Participation</p> <p>Inclusive, accountable, predictable process</p> <p>Center climate and environmental justice in public participation</p> <p>Public relations, outreach, education, bring this into the public eye</p>	<p>Resilience and Reliability</p> <p>Consider emergency preparedness, outages, reliability, safety</p> <p>Consider role of natural gas and fossil systems</p>	<p>Build a Foundation</p> <p>Prepare us for 2025 Climate Strategy (and action!) +1</p> <p>Center Equity</p> <p>Center climate and environmental justice in actions and strategies</p>
		<p>Responsive to the Act</p> <p>Review the Act to ensure we incorporate all aspects of the law (specific targets and goals)</p>

Next, attendees noted major changes and lessons learned since 2016 when the last Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan was published. Attendees noted new emissions reduction targets directed by the 2021 Act on Climate; new learning from analyses, reports, progress on actions, and advances in science, technology, and business; emergency events leading to a renewed and stronger sense of urgency to act; and changing factors like new funding opportunities, renewable energy procurements, and potential changes in utility ownership.

Given objectives and changing conditions, attendees strategized what specific components of the 2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan warranted an update – these components constitute the scope of the 2022 Update. Specifically, the following updates were suggested:

Scope of the 2022 Update

- ☐ Technical updates:
 - o Update greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets to comply with the 2021 Act on Climate, and define the goal of reaching 'net zero emissions by 2050'
 - o Review modeling to ensure the 1990 baseline is sound, data are defensible, and modeling assumptions are reasonable
- ☐ Update pathways, policy and implementation strategies

- Restructure pathways and policies from *2016 Plan* to coordinate with emissions sectors
- Provide updates on progress for each policy and implementation strategy recommended in the *2016 Plan*
- Add policy and implementation strategies recommended by more recent studies
- Refine policy and implementation strategies based on lessons learned
- Update policy and implementation strategies to identify priority actions to meet the 2030 mandate, clarify roles, and identify mechanisms for accountability
- Consider new and forthcoming funding opportunities
- Review and update the entire *2016 Plan* with equity appropriately centered and integrated throughout
- Identify key stakeholders to engage (and engage them!)
- Develop a climate dashboard that tracks progress on community-prioritized outcomes using clearly defined, transparent, and meaningful metrics
- Identify and address the prerequisite needs of the *2025 Climate Strategy* and preview the work ahead

What's new or different since 2016?

This slide reflects notes taken during the sharing session based on discussion and comments- these notes are not comprehensive but are meant to be illustrative of general themes.

Analyses & reports (e.g. cost-effectiveness of renewable and efficiency programs)

Act on Climate – new targets and directives

Science

Centering equity

Progress on actions (and lessons learned)

Funding opportunities

Potential change in utility ownership

Technical and business advances

Emergency events

Urgency!

New renewable procurements (offshore wind)

Recognition of the importance of behavior change

Components of the 2016 GHG Plan that do not need to be updated include the model itself; the guiding objectives to build on state success, enable markets and communities, and leverage regional collaboration; and the process of DEM's triennial greenhouse gas reporting.

Finally, attendees brainstormed stakeholder groups that should be included in future conversations. These groups are listed in the sharing session slides and should be considered a working list. Attendees were encouraged to help connect the project team to their contacts within these groups and to continue to recommend stakeholders with whom to engage.

Who needs to be at the table?

This slide reflects notes taken during the sharing session based on discussion and comments- these notes are not comprehensive but are meant to be illustrative of general themes.

Update Topic (from previous slide)	Stakeholder/Group
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GHG targets Revisit modeling Data 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Municipalities and schools RI Building and Construction Trades Labor, frontline workers Transportation organizations, RIDOT and leadership,RIPTA and RIBTA Health Equity Zones, health organizations, American Lung Association Business representation, minority and women-owned businesses, businesses from environmental justice and frontline communities, businesses that may need to transition South Providence Neighborhood Association SURJ RI Communities Washington Park Association People's Port Authority Narragansett Nation NAACP Urban League Young people
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Leverage analyses/reports Center equity 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Restructure around sectors Update progress Actions, roles, accountability Center equity Funding considerations 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Center equity Climate dashboard Metrics 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Centering equity Key stakeholders Prepare for 2025 Climate Strategy 	
General/Other	

Few attendees (7 attendees, 8%) completed the post-session survey. Of those who did, respondents expressed general preference for afternoon meetings (46%). All respondents (100%) stated there was a sufficient opportunity to share their thoughts and found the sharing session to be at least moderately helpful.

January Sharing Sessions on Defining ‘Net-Zero Emissions by 2050’

On January 11 and 13, 2022, the EC4 held three public sharing sessions to understand how the 2021 Act on Climate’s ultimate mandate of ‘net-zero emissions by 2050’ should be defined. This session was held via Zoom and a project webpage was first updated with notice of the sessions on December 20, 2022. The sessions were also noticed on the Secretary of State’s website on January 5, 2022.

The project team, which is comprised of staff and leadership from the Office of Energy Resources (OER) and Department of Environmental Management (DEM) with guidance and input from the remaining EC4 agencies/offices, conducted outreach for the sharing session beginning January 5, 2022, with emailed announcements distributed to OER’s and EC4’s distribution lists, and announcements made at the Green Buildings Advisory Committee’s public meeting on December 14.

Each session was run identically. Liz Stone, EC4 Coordinator for DEM, reviewed the 2021 Act on Climate’s mandates related to the 2022 *Update* to the 2016 *Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan* and reviewed guidelines and ground rules for participation. Dr. Carrie Gill, Chief Economic and Policy Analyst for OER, led participants in a facilitated discussion. Finally, Ms. Stone concluded the sharing session with next steps. The slide deck used for this session was made available on www.climatechange.ri.gov/aoc on February 4, 2022.

The sharing session was attended by 102 people including Representative Carson and Karen Bradbury on behalf of Senator Whitehouse, as well as EC4 members RIDEM Acting Director Gray, State Energy Commissioner Ucci, and Rhode Island Infrastructure Bank Executive Director and CEO Jeff Diehl. State Administration representatives also included staff from OER, DEM, DOT, DOH, DSP, RIPTA, and Commerce. Several stakeholder groups were represented as well, including Acadia Center, Green Energy Consumers Alliance, Audubon Society, The Nature Conservancy, Environmental Council of Rhode Island, National Grid, Brown University, New England Convenience Store & Energy Marketers Association (NECSEMA), Climate Jobs RI, land trusts, and members of the clean energy industry.

Attendees were asked to complete surveys before and after the sharing session. Forty-nine (49) attendees (48%) completed the pre-session survey – while this response rate is higher than the response rate from the November sharing session, we continue to suggest the low number of completions should only be interpreted as being suggestive of general trends. According to the pre-session survey, 15% of respondents represented state government and 33% of respondents represented environmental organizations. While all respondents considered themselves at least familiar with what greenhouse gases are, 22% of respondents reported not being at all familiar with how greenhouse gases are inventoried.

- ☐ Recommendation: hold a workshop to provide an introductory overview of how greenhouse gas emissions are inventoried

The majority of respondents learned about these sharing sessions from an EC4 newsletter or email, but some respondents reported new outreach channels, including through a Climate Action RI meeting. Seeing new outreach channels is encouraging because it suggests increased awareness of 2021 Act on Climate and EC4 events, which may lead to increased and more diverse participation.

- ☐ Recommendation: continue to ask about and monitor outreach channels used

The demographics of respondents skewed white and non-Hispanic/latinx. While these data should not be interpreted as conclusive trends in participation, they do suggest likely underrepresentation of several communities across Rhode Island, including indigenous communities and people of color.

The facilitated discussion walked through three discussion prompts, all with the aim of understanding considerations and preferences for how we define 'net-zero by 2050'. Each prompt began with a brief overview of background information needed to support discussion. Notes on comments were taken in real-time directly on shared slides; these slides were made available as notes online and on the Secretary of State's website on February 4, 2022.

First, attendees were asked which emissions should be included when defining the term 'net-zero emissions by 2050'. Dr. Gill explained the four main types of greenhouse gas emissions identified and tracked by the IPCC and US EPA and noted that Rhode Island currently uses a tool developed and maintained by the US EPA that tracks all four types of greenhouse gases.

Which emissions?

Our current GHG inventory tracks:


- Carbon dioxide (CO₂)
- Methane (CH₄)
- Nitrous oxide (N₂O)
- Fluorinated gases [hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆), and nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃)]

GHG gases summarized as CO₂ equivalent (reported in million metric tons: MMTCO₂e)

RI uses a suite of GHG inventory tools developed and updated by the US EPA, consistent with IPCC science

Which emissions should be included in 'net-zero emissions by 2050'?

<https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/overviewgreenhousegases>



Attendees generally supported continuing to track all four types of greenhouse gases. Indeed, of the 18 respondents to the post-session survey, 94% suggested we include all four types of greenhouse gases in our definition. Concerns and considerations raised included timeframes used to calculate global warming potentials, biogenic versus anthropogenic emissions, assumptions and tracking for methane leakage from pipelines, how to best consider land use and land use changes, emissions from biodiesel and bioheat, the importance of consistency across state borders, importance of consistency with IPCC, the role of education and messaging, developing mitigation strategies tailored for each type of emission, and prioritizing action over accounting.

Second, attendees discussed how we should net emissions. Dr. Gill explained the concept of emissions sources and sinks, and how netting is the process of considering both sources and sinks. Dr. Gill provided examples of two different methods for netting and noted other methods may be considered as well, before opening discussion for attendees.

How do we 'net'?

Each type of greenhouse gas has 'sources' that produce that gas (like combustion of fossil fuels) and 'sinks' that absorb or break down that gas (like tree growth or carbon capture technologies).

Netting is the process of considering both 'sources' and 'sinks':



There are different ways we can 'net' emissions. Other options may exist too, including netting by sector.



How should we 'net' emissions to reach 'net-zero emissions by 2050'?

Attendees were more split in their preferences between netting each greenhouse gas first versus netting the summary measure MMTCO₂e last. While the overall preference seemed to be for netting MMTCO₂e last – Rhode Island's current practice and capability, as determined by tools developed and maintained by the US EPA – there was insightful discussion about the potential role of considering net emissions of each type of greenhouse gas and for all greenhouse gases by sector. Of 16 post-survey respondents, 44% preferred netting MMTCO₂e last, 31% preferred netting each greenhouse gas first, and 25% suggested hybrid, mixed, or alternative methods of measure progress toward net-zero emissions.

Other considerations raised included the importance of action to mitigation emissions from all sources, the concern of over-reliance of as-yet-unproven emissions capture technology, the need for appropriate education and communications, understanding the difference between and consequences of offsets versus sinks, a preference for being overly conservative in our accounting, the role of transparency and climate dashboards, the need to ensure comprehensive accounting across state borders, and building flexibility into our methods, tools, and definitions to account for changes in technology and science, among others.

Third, attendees discussed the timeframe over which emissions should be netted. Dr. Gill described Rhode Island's current practice of estimating emissions on an annual timescale, meaning all sources of emissions throughout the year are estimated, from which all reductions in emissions from sinks over the course of the year are subtracted. Emissions may also be netted on smaller timeframes, such as seasonal, daily, or hourly, but Rhode Island doesn't currently have the capability to do so. If we were to consider smaller timeframes, that would require reaching net-zero emissions for each timeframe (e.g. reaching net-zero emissions in each season in 2050).

What timeframe?

Emissions – particularly emissions from the electric sector – change over time based on the fuel mix used at power plants and the production of renewable energy.

Current practice (and capability) aggregates emissions based on averages over the entire year:

Net MMTCO₂e in 2050

Should we consider netting emissions over smaller timeframes - such as by season, by day, by hour - if capability evolves to allow us to do so?

Net MMTCO₂e in
Winter

Net MMTCO₂e in
Spring

Net MMTCO₂e in
Summer

Net MMTCO₂e in
Fall

Over what timeframe do we net emissions to reach
'net-zero emissions by 2050'?

Attendees engaged in really robust discussion about the tradeoffs between annual and sub-annual timeframes. Of the 15 post-session survey responses, roughly half of respondents supported using an annual timeframe (53%) and roughly half supported using a sub-annual or other timeframe (47%). There seemed to be an inclination across the three sharing sessions to maintain the annual timeframe, but attendees raised important considerations about the potential value in supplementing annual netting with sub-annual netting, weighing the incremental insights of more frequent netting with the costs of administration, being intentional about which sub-annual timeframe to use if appropriate, and considering the best timeframe for each type of greenhouse gas or sector.

Attendees were also given an opportunity to voice any other considerations about how we should define 'net-zero emissions by 2050'. Attendees stressed the need to prioritize action over accounting, focus on reaching short-term interim mandates, prioritize mitigating sources over pursuing sinks or offsets, considering non-quantitative metrics alongside emissions – including social and mental health impacts, highlighting case studies and success stories alongside quantitative metrics, and identifying the most impactful near-term actions.

Eighteen (18) attendees completed the post-session survey. Of those who did, respondents expressed general preference for afternoon meetings (33%). All respondents (100%) stated there was a sufficient opportunity to share their thoughts and 94% found the sharing session to be at least moderately helpful.

March Sharing Session on Greenhouse Gas Inventory Methods and Tools

On March 16, 2022, the EC4 held a public sharing session with the three objectives of (1) providing a tutorial to improve understanding of how we inventory greenhouse gas emissions, (2) understanding considerations and preferences for how/when we re-estimate greenhouse gas emissions changes due to land use, land use change, and forestry (LULUCF), and (3) understanding preferences for comparing apples-to-apples across years versus maintaining an unchanging baseline against which to compare contemporary emissions.

This session was held via Zoom and a project webpage was first updated with notice of the sessions on February 3, 2022. The session was also noticed on the Secretary of State's website on March 10, 2022. Because of the technical nature of this sharing session, we extended the length of the session to 90 minutes.

The project team, which is comprised of staff and leadership from the Office of Energy Resources (OER) and Department of Environmental Management (DEM) with guidance and input from the remaining EC4 agencies/offices, conducted outreach for the sharing session beginning March 7, 2022, with emailed announcements distributed to OER's and EC4's distribution lists, and announcements made at the Green Buildings Advisory Committee's public meeting on March 15.

Liz Stone, EC4 Coordinator for DEM, reviewed the 2021 Act on Climate's mandates related to the *2022 Update to the 2016 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan* and reviewed guidelines and ground rules for participation. Dr. Carrie Gill, Chief Economic and Policy Analyst for OER, led participants in a facilitated discussion. Finally, Ms. Stone concluded the sharing session with next steps. The slide deck used for this session was made available on www.climatechange.ri.gov/aoc on March 21, 2022.

The sharing session was attended by 76 people including Representative Cortvriend, EC4 Chair and RIDEM Acting Director Gray, EC4 Advisory Board member Rubinoff, and Energy Efficiency and Resource Management Council members Case and Verrengia. State Administration representatives also included staff from OER, DEM, DOT, DOH, DSP, DPUC, PUC, CRMC and Commerce. Several stakeholder groups were represented as well, including Acadia Center, Green Energy Consumers Alliance, Audubon Society, Environmental Council of Rhode Island, and National Grid.

Attendees were asked to complete surveys before and after the sharing session. Twenty-two (22) attendees (29%) completed the pre-session survey – while this response rate is higher than the response rate from the January sharing sessions, we continue to suggest the low number of completions should only be interpreted as being suggestive of general trends. According to the pre-session survey, 32% of respondents represented state government, 27% of respondents represented environmental organizations, and 18% represented industry. While all respondents considered themselves at least familiar with what greenhouse gases are, 32% of respondents reported not being at all familiar with how greenhouse gases are inventoried and 59% stated they had not been involved in prior conversations related to past years' greenhouse gas emissions inventories – these statistics echo those from the January sharing sessions. Following the recommendation from the January sharing session, this March sharing session began with an introductory overview of how greenhouse gas emissions are inventoried.

The majority of respondents learned about these sharing sessions from an EC4 newsletter or email. The demographics of respondents skewed white and non-Hispanic/latinx. While these data should not be interpreted as conclusive trends in participation, they do suggest likely underrepresentation of several communities across Rhode Island, including indigenous communities and people of color.

During first half of the sharing session, RIDEM expert Allison Archambault presented an introductory overview of how RIDEM inventories greenhouse gas emissions. The second half of the sharing session was a facilitated discussion using two discussion prompts, both with the aim of understanding consideration and preferences for updating greenhouse gas emissions accounting. Each prompt began with a brief overview of background information needed to support discussion. Notes on comments were taken in real-time directly on shared slides; these slides were made available as notes online and on the Secretary of State's website on March 18, 2022.


First, attendees were asked what considerations they saw for how frequently Rhode Island estimates emissions reductions due to land use, land use change, and forestry (LULUCF). Following background remarks from Ms. Archambault, Dr. Gill gave four examples of potential frequencies for estimating emissions from LULUCF.

Considerations for re-estimating land use impacts



The 2021 Act on Climate mandates that Rhode Island reach ~~net~~ zero emissions by 2050. Therefore, we need to account for land use (LULUCF) in our emissions inventory.

What are the considerations for how frequently we estimate emissions reductions due to LULUCF?



27

Considerations for re-estimating land use impacts



What are the considerations for how frequently we estimate emissions reductions due to LULUCF?

- Having just a baseline isn't enough- we need multiple estimates to understand trends
- Are there correlating factors that can help us understand how LULUCF is changing (housing, population growth)? A mathematical relationship; indicators that can flag changes
- What is the impact of clear cutting (such as what is happening currently in the state)?
- More frequent estimation may help us compare decarbonization strategies and impacts
- Are there any ocean-based carbon removal projects anticipated? How are oceans included in LULUCF? How should we consider the role oceans in updating methods and decarbonization strategies
 - What about ponds, rivers, wildlife?
- Consider administrative burden and opportunity cost and financial cost of potential consulting services since LULUCF is a small portion of the emissions inventory
- Emissions sinks should be prioritized following reducing emissions sources
- Understanding LULUCF emissions reductions may help us strategize with how much we need to decarbonize ← but this should not de-emphasize the importance of reducing emissions
- Are there land use-related sources of emissions? What factors go into LULUCF methods?
- Is land conversation from housing and development being included in any other sector of our inventory?
- What new equipment, technology, sensing, etc. might inform advanced estimation methods? What's the right cadence based on new ways of data collection?
- Is tree age factored in?
- Can we gain insight into the level of statistical uncertainty of our emissions estimates? How does that compare between LULUCF and other sectors?

28

Attendees generally supported estimating emissions from LULUCF every five years, in line with Rhode Island's Comprehensive Climate Strategy beginning in 2025. Indeed, of the 7 respondents to the post-session survey, 71% suggested we conduct this estimation every five years (2025, 2030, ...). The frequency of estimation will help inform these climate strategies and will align with the 2021 Act on Climate's decarbonization mandates for 2030, 2040, and 2050. Echoing priorities voiced in prior sharing sessions, attendees advocated for prioritizing actions that reduce emission sources rather than increasing emissions sinks; this priority is one factor that motivated a less frequent cadence of estimation. Attendees also suggested that we work to better understand trends and changes in LULUCF emissions and accounting methodologies; by understanding these trends and changes, we might strategically estimate emissions from LULUCF when certain indicators are met.

Second, attendees discussed considerations for how frequently we update the 1990 baseline. Following an overview from Ms. Archambault, Dr. Gill suggested that attendees might consider how often we update the baseline to be on a spectrum. At one extreme, attendees might suggest we update the baseline every time we estimate Rhode Island's emissions inventory. At the other extreme, attendees might suggest we never update the baseline. In between, attendees might suggest a strategy for when to update the baseline based on their considerations and priorities.

Considerations for updating the 1990 baseline



Science is always evolving. The greenhouse gas inventory tools are updated periodically to reflect the best science. Updates may include updated global warming potentials in response to Assessment Reports, reestimating emissions impacts from the land use sector, or other as yet unforeseen updates to methodology.

What are the considerations with how frequently we update the 1990 baseline?



31

Considerations for updating the 1990 baseline



What are the considerations with how frequently we update the baseline?

- Major updates to science occur when major assessment reports are released (about once every seven years), so updating the baseline when ARs are released may not be too burdensome
- 20 versus 100 year warming potential
- Should strive to update our understanding and resulting policies as frequently as possible, since we have limited time to mitigate the worst impacts of climate change
- Our decisions today have longterm ramifications, so we need to be using the most updated science now to inform policy choices
- Is there an opportunity for collaboration with communities, schools, etc. to support this work?
- Do we anticipate substantial changes to the baseline?
After all, the accounting is just accounting and what matters is the emissions in the atmosphere and our actions to reduce them
- However, there is value in comparing apples to apples so that we can get feedback on our strategies

32

Attendees generally recommended we re-estimate Rhode Island's baseline whenever major updates to climate science occur, such as those identified in IPCC Assessment Reports every seven years or so. The principle behind this recommendation is comparing apples-to-apples to understand our greenhouse gas emissions reductions. The 2021 Act on Climate makes this principle salient by mandating interim emissions reductions relative to the 1990 baseline in percentage terms (i.e. 45% below 1990 levels by 2030 and 80% below 1990 levels by 2040). Conducting such a comparison requires the contemporaneous emissions and the baseline emissions to be estimated using consistent methodologies and assumptions.

Regarding both discussion prompts, attendees generally agreed that administration burden and costs should be considered when determining how frequently to conduct and update estimations. Echoing priorities from prior sharing sessions, the most critical actions are those that reduce greenhouse gas emissions rather than actions to account for those reductions. That said, where accounting provides insight into the efficacy of our actions, then undertaking those accounting exercises are critical for refining our climate strategies in order to meet the 2021 Act on Climate mandates.

Lastly, attendees had an opportunity to voice other considerations for greenhouse gas emissions inventorying. Two considerations were noted. First, attendees reiterated the importance of accurate accounting of and reduction of methane emissions, especially from the gas pipeline system. Second, attendees raised the question of whether and how we should track what are called ‘Scope 3’ emissions. For background, emissions can be categorized within three scopes. According to the US EPA⁴³ “Scope 1 emissions are direct greenhouse (GHG) emissions that occur from sources that are controlled or owned by an organization (e.g., emissions associated with fuel combustion in boilers, furnaces, vehicles). Scope 2 emissions are indirect GHG emissions associated with the purchase of electricity, steam, heat, or cooling. Scope 3 emissions are the result of activities from assets not owned or controlled by the reporting organization, but that the organization indirectly impacts in its value chain.” Attendees raised their concerns about reducing and accurately tracking Rhode Island’s Scope 3 emissions.

Other considerations?



- Methane
- Emissions scopes– how should we account for scope 3 emissions in our inventory (e.g. emissions due to food we consume, products we purchase, etc.)– downstream impacts

34

Few attendees completed the post-session survey. Of those who did, respondents expressed general preference for afternoon weekday meetings (36%). All respondents (N=6) stated there was a sufficient opportunity to share their thoughts and all respondents (N=7) found the sharing session to be at least moderately helpful. One respondent (N=6) stated their question was not answered sufficiently.

Commented [GC(5)]: If this was you – we apologize! Please feel free to reach back out to us so we can try to better respond to your question or connect you with more resources. DOA.ClimateChangeRI@DOA.RI.gov

⁴³ For more information, visit the US EPA’s [webpage on emissions scopes](#).